

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.17816/nb625638>



Does new knowledge allow to improve the diagnosis of mental disorders: the problem of anti-NMDA receptor encephalitis?

Alexander B. Shmukler

Moscow Research Institute of Psychaitry — Branch of the National Medical Research Center of Psychiatry and Addictions named after V.P. Serbsky

ABSTRACT

A narrative review of research studies discusses the clinical features, differential diagnosis, and treatment of anti-NMDA receptor encephalitis. Special attention is given to the controversial aspects of the etiology and pathogenesis of this disorder. The need for further research into on the role of antibodies to NMDA receptors in the development of psychiatric disorders is emphasized.

Keywords: psychosis; affective disorders; antibodies; autoimmune diseases; autoimmune encephalitis.

To cite this article:

Shmukler AB. Does new knowledge allow to improve the diagnosis of mental disorders: the problem of anti-NMDA receptor encephalitis? *Neurology Bulletin*. 2024;56(1):95–104. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.17816/nb625638>

Received: 14.01.2024

Accepted: 23.01.2024

Published online: 18.03.2024

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.17816/nb625638>

Позволяют ли новые знания улучшить диагностику психических расстройств: проблема анти-NMDA-рецепторного энцефалита

А.Б. Шмуклер

Московский научно-исследовательский институт психиатрии — филиал Национального медицинского исследовательского центра психиатрии и наркологии им. В.П. Сербского, Москва, Россия

АННОТАЦИЯ

В нарративном обзоре научных исследований рассмотрены вопросы клинической картины, дифференциальной диагностики и лечения анти-NMDA-рецепторного энцефалита. Специальное внимание уделено дискуссионным аспектам этиологии и патогенеза данного заболевания. Подчёркнута необходимость дальнейших исследований роли антител к NMDA-рецепторам в развитии психических расстройств.

Ключевые слова: психоз; аффективное расстройство; антитела; аутоиммунные заболевания; аутоиммунный энцефалит.

Как цитировать:

Шмуклер А.Б. Позволяют ли новые знания улучшить диагностику психических расстройств: проблема анти-NMDA-рецепторного энцефалита // Неврологический вестник. 2024. Т. 56. Вып. 1. С. 95–104. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.17816/nb625638>

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.17816/nb625638>

Яңа белемнәр психик тайпылышлар диагностикасын камилләштерергә мөмкинлек бирәме: анти-NMDA-рецептор энцефалиты проблемасы

А. Б. Шмуклер

В. П. Сербский ис. психиатрия һәм наркология милли медицина тикшеренү үзәгенең Мәскәү психиатрия фәнни-тикшеренү институты филиалы, Мәскәү, Рәсәй

Аннотация

Фәнни тикшеренүләргә ясалган әлеге күзәтүдә анти-NMDA-рецептор энцефалитының клиник картинасы, дифференциаль диагностика һәм дөвәләу мәсьәләләре яктыртыла. Әлеге авыруның этиологиясе һәм патогенезының бәхәслә аспектларына махсус игътибар бирелә. Психик тайпылышлар үсешендә антитәнчәкләрнең NMDA рецепторларына карата ролен тикшерү кирәклегә ассызыклана.

Төп сүзләр: психоз, аффектив тайпылышлар, антитәнчәкләр, аутоиммун авырулары, аутоиммун энцефалит.

Өземтәләр ясау өчен:

Шмуклер А.Б. Яңа белемнәр психик тайпылышлар диагностикасын камилләштерергә мөмкинлек бирәме: анти-NMDA-рецептор энцефалиты проблемасы // Неврология хәбәрләре. 2024 Т. 56. Чыг. 1. С. 95–104. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.17816/nb625638>

INTRODUCTION

In Russian psychiatry, there is currently a crisis in the perception of approaches to the analysis of scientific data by different generations of specialists. Young colleagues are “infected with the virus” of misunderstood evidence-based medicine. They do not have basic knowledge in clinical epidemiology and interpret simplistically and sometimes incorrectly the results of randomized controlled trials, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses and clinical guidelines and algorithms for the diagnosis and treatment of mental disorders, which, with unformed medical judgment, leads to decreased efficiency of providing mental health care to patients.

Old school leaders with clinical experience often complain about the lack of basic clinical approaches among young colleagues and their underestimation of the features of the clinical presentation in an individual patient and inability to perform differential diagnostics based on the nuances of symptoms and compose a complete presentation of the pathological process in all the variety of its details from individual manifestations of the disease. Moreover, the older generation of specialists has a skeptical attitude toward research based on the principles of evidence-based medicine and use of quantitative methods for analyzing symptoms based on the use of psychometric tools. Even when clinical epidemiological approaches are used by these specialists in scientific studies, the results obtained with their help are accurate, an addition to the “true scientific” clinical method from their point of view.

Such studies often have prepossession of the material presentation and selective references to literary sources, juggling with the classic symptom names and those coined by authors (the authors themselves are often not aware of the presence of symptoms named after them). The peculiarity of such “schools” is that they exist in their own “bubble”, denying or ignoring other standpoints and concepts. The discussion of literary sources in these cases is fragmented (only works that confirm the author’s main ideas are selected, and the others are simply ignored). There is no systematic analysis of the literature in such cases.

Obtaining new knowledge (which is the main goal of science) in such conditions is complicated, as either long-known truths are successfully confirmed during “evidence-based research” or everything new is reduced to “old” descriptions, often starting with Hippocrates or the classics of the latter half of the 19th century and early 20th century¹. However, new facts are emerging and require comprehension, considering both the traditional clinical approach and modern methods of evidence-based medicine.

One of these new facts is the emergence of data on the association between acute psychopathological symptoms and increased levels of autoantibodies to N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors. The discussion on this issue is characterized by a wide range of opinions having distinct features of the contradictions described above.

On the one hand, clinical descriptions of these conditions are often basic, which does not allow making substantiated conclusions about the structure of the syndrome and its features and dynamics, often combining manifestations that are similar only in external signs into one group without considering the mechanisms of their development and attribution to various psychopathological conditions (e.g., behavioral, speech, and sleep disorders).

On the other hand, some Russian psychiatrists deny the independence of these conditions and attempt to reduce them to already known nosological units with references to clinical descriptions of the classics of psychiatry and immunological studies in the late 20th century. Both approaches appear to have imperfections, and the facts described require special analysis.

ANTI-NMDA RECEPTOR ENCEPHALITIS

In 2005, Dalmau et al. described four cases of paraneoplastic encephalitis that developed in women with ovarian teratoma, in which clinical presentation included severe psychopathological symptoms and respiratory failure [1]. After 2 years, the authors proved the autoimmune nature of the disease associated with the production of autoantibodies to NMDA receptors [2].

A systematic review showed that between January 1, 2011, and December 31, 2021 (i.e., for 11 years), 472 cases of anti-NMDA receptor encephalitis (ANMDARE)² have been reported in 313 articles in English and Chinese [3]. Thus, the condition is relatively rare (1.5 cases per 1 million population [4]), which does not allow for full-scale randomized clinical trials; however, a systematic analysis of individual cases enables to consider the clinical presentation in sufficient detail, as well as the differential diagnostic criteria, therapeutic approach, and prognosis of this disease.

Based on the results of the above systematic review [3] and of a review of descriptions of ANMDARE with a predominance of psychopathological symptoms without gross neurological disorders (73 English language publications, including 79 cases of the disease with relatively detailed descriptions of patient conditions) [5], the following conclusions regarding its clinical manifestations can be drawn. In more than 3/4 of cases, ANMDARE develops in female patients, mostly young women. In most cases, the onset of disorders occurs

¹ In these cases, the extensive knowledge of the authors of such publications often dominates the content, so that the facts presented are only indirectly related to the content of the problem under discussion.

² The number of publications exceeded the specified number; however, cases with incomplete descriptions and descriptions of insufficient quality were excluded.

at age 18–45, which is mainly true for women. According to a systematic review [3], the disease develops more often before age 18 in men.

A tumor was detected in half of the patients (at age 18–45, neoplasms were diagnosed in 2/3 of cases); it was most commonly ovarian teratoma (96.6%), and in isolated cases, it was mediastinal tumor, neuroendocrine uterine cancer, testicular teratoma, plasmacytoid cystic teratoma, and carcinoma [3].

Majority of the patients (~90%) experienced prodromal symptoms within 2 weeks before the acute manifestation, most often (more than half of the cases) influenza-like manifestations (e.g., headache, fever, nausea, vomiting) [3, 5].

The clinical presentation after the disease manifestation (and in some cases, at the prodromal stage) was determined by psychopathological manifestations, which often led to erroneous diagnosis. This was further facilitated by the presence of a history of mental disorders (schizophrenia/schizoaffective disorder in 5.8% of cases, bipolar affective disorder in 5.0%, depressive episodes in 3.8%, etc.) in some patients (~20%) [5].

Almost half of the patients were hospitalized within 1 week and 3/4 within 1 month after disease onset. The most common diagnoses upon admission were acute polymorphic psychotic disorder, postpartum psychosis, schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, delusional disorder, and bipolar affective disorder and a depressive episode. In over 90% of cases, psychotic symptoms (delusional, hallucinatory, hallucinatory–delusional) were noted. Moreover, almost 60% of patients had catatonic manifestations, more than 50% had affective disorders of both poles, and approximately 33% had gross memory impairment up to congruent amnesia and severe (sometimes reaching complete disintegration) disorders of thinking and speech [5]. It should be noted that, in addition to the detailed presentation of the disease, its subclinical forms are possible.

Generally, it is recommended to perform a differential diagnostic of ANMDARE in patients with new-onset psychotic symptoms, even in the absence of neurological signs [3, 5]. The latter most often manifest as dyskinesias and convulsive attacks, which can develop at any stage of the disease.

Magnetic resonance imaging reveals changes in at least a third (according to some data, half) of patients [3, 6]. They are characterized by dim foci of hyperintense signal of varying localizations (the hippocampus, cerebellum, cerebral cortex, basal parts of the frontal lobe, temporal and insular lobes, basal ganglia, brain stem and, infrequently, spinal cord) [3].

Pathological signs on the electroencephalogram are detected in most patients (>80% of cases). Most of them are nonspecific in nature, such as disorganization of bioelectrical activity, increased beta activity, and the appearance of slow rhythms. Epileptiform activity is less commonly (15% of patients) registered; in some cases (7%), the delta burst pattern that is characteristic of ANMDARE occurs [6, 7]. Almost 80% of patients show pathological changes in the

cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), characterized by mild lymphocytic pleocytosis, increased protein levels, and emergence of oligoclonal immunoglobulin G.

A lifetime diagnosis of ANMDARE is established by the presence of autoantibodies to NMDA receptors in biological fluids (CSF, blood serum). A systematic review and meta-analysis on the clinical significance of detecting autoantibodies in biological media demonstrated that in 42% of cases, they were detected in both the CSF and blood, whereas in the remaining cases, they were found in only one of them (in CSF in 13% of cases, in the blood serum in 45% of cases) [8]. Thus, contrary to the belief that the study of the level of autoantibodies to NMDA receptors in the CSF is more informative, when blood serum is used as a biological material, autoantibodies are detected in 87% of cases compared to 55% in the CSF.

The main treatment methods for ANMDARE are immunosuppressive therapy, intravenous administration of glucocorticoids and immunoglobulins, and plasmapheresis [3]. Antipsychotic treatment is poorly tolerated with a high risk of occurrence of extrapyramidal side effects and neuroleptic malignant syndrome. If psychomotor agitation or persistent psychotic symptoms should be relieved, prescribing antipsychotics with a sedative effect and a low risk of extrapyramidal symptoms is acceptable [6]. In the presence of catatonic symptoms, benzodiazepine tranquilizers may be used.

According to a systematic analysis of clinical cases, in 35% of ANMDARE cases, complete recovery occurred, and in another 50% of patients, “significant improvement” was observed [3]. However, in 10.6% of patients, the improvement was “limited”, and in 4.4% of cases, the disease was fatal. A systematic review of clinical cases with a predominance of psychopathological symptoms without gross neurological disorders and convulsive attacks revealed a more favorable prognosis, when 61% of patients achieved complete or almost complete recovery — they returned to their previous level of functioning and continued work or study, although in some cases this required a long recovery period, taking up to a year [5]. In 30.6% of patients, residual manifestations persisted, such as cognitive impairment, affective fluctuations, suspiciousness, and fragmentary ideas of attitude.

Despite numerous indications of cognitive impairment in patients after ANMDARE, a systematic analysis in the PubMed, MEDLINE, Scopus, and Web of Science databases using the keywords “anti-NMDA” and “cognition” revealed only 15 publications describing the condition of 151 patients, using a standard set of techniques for assessing cognitive functions [9].

The data obtained were ambiguous; however, in most cases, after the patients recovered, their cognitive functioning was restored to normal levels, although some functions (i.e., working memory and attention) were more vulnerable than others, revealing some deficits in patients with already resolved neurological and psychopathological symptoms.

It was noted that in the active phase of the disease, there was a total disruption of mental activity involving all parts of the brain, but functioning of the frontal parts, primarily those responsible for working memory, was affected the most.

A 2018 systematic review, which used broader inclusion criteria (assessment at early stages of recovery, use of single nonspecific tests such as intelligence quotient test IQ), analyzed the cognitive status of 109 patients from 16 countries (44 publications) [10]. Quantitative methods were used to assess neurocognitive deficits, without attempting to group them into a neuropsychological syndrome³. Deterioration in at least one cognitive function was detected in 76.5% of patients, with the key deficits being deterioration of episodic memory and executive function, and, to a lesser extent, attention and information processing rate were affected.

DISCUSSION

Currently, several autoimmune encephalitis (AE) conditions have been described, owing to the presence of autoantibodies to intra- and extracellular agents (synaptic receptors, ion channels, and other surface proteins of brain cells) [11]. AE with antibodies to intracellular agents in majority of cases (except for GAD6⁴ antibodies) are associated with neoplasms. AE with antibodies to extracellular agents are less often caused by cancerous diseases, although in these cases, neoplasms are commonly detected. Among AEs caused by the presence of antibodies to extracellular agents, ANMDARE, associated with the presence of antibodies, is distinct to the NR1/NR2 subunits of NMDA receptors, which is one of the most studied and frequently detected AEs.

The symptoms of AE often first occur and/or proceed in the form of mental disorders, and patients with these diseases are referred to a psychiatrist for follow-up and treatment [11]. With ANMDARE, psychopathological (including psychotic) symptoms, usually recorded at the onset of the disease, occur in most patients [12, 13]. In 4%–5% of cases, the disease can occur in the form of an “isolated psychotic episode” [14]. In this regard, the question arises about the possibility of distinguishing “primary” psychopathological disorders from phenomenologically similar conditions, and in their pathogenesis, antibodies to NMDA receptors are significant [15, 16].

As mentioned previously, the clinical presentation of ANMDARE is polymorphic and often resembles the cycloid psychoses of Karl Leonhard, who, continuing the scientific direction of Wernicke⁵ and Kleist, in his taxonomy of endogenous psychoses, placed them between manic–depressive psychosis and nonsystemic schizophrenia [17].

Describing excited–inhibited confusional insanity, Leonhard emphasized a thought process disorder as the main disorder in this condition, where at the height of excitement, thinking becomes incoherent, such as the speech flow; in the “inhibition phase” (stupor), it “stops”, accompanied by mutism, and false recognitions, delusions of meaning, relationships, and hallucinations may occur.

Leonhard differentiated these conditions from another variant of cycloid psychosis, namely, hyperkinetic–akinet motor psychosis, within which disturbances in expressive and reactive movements occurred (“a psychomotor form of excitation and inhibition with circular oscillations between both poles”).

Japanese authors in the mid-20th century identified similar conditions as “atypical psychosis”, characterized by an acute onset (often accompanied by increased body temperature), a monophasic or polyphasic course, and impaired consciousness with psychomotor disorders [18–20].

Notably, the descriptions presented are very similar to those that are possible for psychoses within ANMDARE. Moreover, according to Leonhard, cycloid psychoses resolve spontaneously and do not induce personality changes. This indicates significant differences between cycloid psychoses in accordance with Leonhard’s theory and the clinical presentation with ANMDARE with high mortality, the possibility of residual symptoms, and cognitive impairment. Several modern authors, based on a systematic analysis of literary data, indicate that if patients have psychotic symptoms that are phenomenologically similar to cycloid psychoses, a differential diagnosis with ANMDARE is required, including determining the titer of antibodies to NMDA receptors [18, 21].

When analyzing the abovementioned data, significant aspects should be kept in mind. Antibodies to NMDA receptors can be present in people who currently do not have mental disorders [22, 23], and their presence, as shown by the results of a survey of 7000 people, is not an unambiguous predictor of the disease development [23]. In a number of cases, an increased titer of antibodies to NMDA receptors was detected in people with a history of ANMDARE (albeit in a lower titer than in the acute phase of the disease) even after relief of symptoms and during the period of remission [24, 25].

Such “paradoxes” are not uncommon in medicine. For example, it is now well-established that *Helicobacter pylori* plays a significant role in the etiology of gastric ulcers; however, majority (up to 90%) of carriers of this bacterium do not have any manifestations of the disease. The causes of this phenomenon are discussed in detail by Davydovsky [26].

Thus, the presence of an elevated titer of antibodies in the blood alone is not sufficient for the development of

³ In the work of Russian authors cited above [9], an attempt was made to perform a syndromic analysis of the identified disorders.

⁴ GAD–glutamic acid decarboxylase.

⁵ Wernicke was one of the most irreconcilable critics of the concept of Kraepelin, who created a taxonomy of mental disorders on a linear dichotomy of two polar, from his point of view, diseases, namely, schizophrenia, characterized by a debilitating progressive course, and manic–depressive psychosis with a periodic course that does not lead to dementia.

ANMDARE. However, this does not lead to the conclusion that this disease is an artifact of scientific research. The authors of a large study mentioned previously, which obtained results indicating the possibility of detecting antibody titers to NMDA receptors without clinical signs of mental pathology [23], emphasized their great diagnostic and therapeutic value in cases of already developed disease.

Additional conditions are crucial for ANMDARE to occur. Some studies indicated the role of damage to the blood–brain barrier (BBB) in the disease pathogenesis [27–29]. However, it should be noted that ANMDARE can develop when the BBB is intact (impaired BBB permeability was detected in only 27.4% of hospitalizations for ANMDARE; however, in 24.5% of patients with an intact BBB, antibodies to NMDA receptors were detected in CSF) [27, 29]. Furthermore, it was found that when BBB integrity is impaired, the disease is usually more severe and is accompanied by impaired consciousness.

The effect of antibodies circulating in the peripheral circulatory system on the central nervous system with an intact BBB remains unclear. The presence of antibodies in the blood does not contribute to BBB damage. Hence, additional mechanisms may exist, including other pathways for the penetration of antibodies into the central nervous system and the influence of pro-inflammatory cascades [29]. The possibility of indirect effects on the brain is indicated, for example, through changes in the expression of peripheral inflammatory mediators and regulation of homeostasis, which play a critical role in the TNF signaling pathway⁶, in ANMDARE patients [29]. A systematic review and meta-analysis published in 2023 demonstrated that the central immune response in ANMDARE is a process that involves multiple cytokine/chemokine-mediated immune cell interactions [30].

CONCLUSION

Therefore, at present, such a disease as ANMDARE exists (although this is not recognized by all psychiatrists; some of

whom are trying to reduce all described cases to previous diagnostic units). Moreover, clinical practice and scientific research pose a number of questions to specialists; however, at present, definitive answers are yet to be established.

Most probably, the conditions that are currently called ANMDARE represent a group of diseases with common pathogenetic mechanisms leading to disruption of the normal functioning of NMDA receptors and development of psychopathological symptoms that require differential diagnostics with “primary” mental/psychotic disorders.

The etiological factors that play a role in the development of ANMDARE may vary (tumors including teratomas, viral diseases including COVID-19 and herpes, antiphospholipid syndrome, systemic lupus erythematosus, and “primary” (idiopathic) autoimmune process in relation to NMDA receptors) [31–34].

Furthermore, a characteristic aspect in all of these cases is the prevalence in the clinical presentation of the disease of mental disorders, often acute (peracute) psychotic symptoms. Traditional antipsychotic treatment in these patients leads to pronounced side effects, complicating the already severe somato-neurological status of patients, without improving their mental state. Immunosuppressive therapy is effective in these cases.

However, at the prodromal stage of ANMDARE, when the disease manifestations are limited to affective or subacute hallucinatory–delusional symptoms without catatonic manifestations and signs of confused mental state, standard approaches to the relief of depressive, manic and/or hallucinatory–delusional disorders may be effective. In some of these cases, the titer of antibodies to NMDA receptors remains elevated, although lower than in the acute period of ANMDARE, and its dynamics in an individual patient (as opposed to the average values for a group of patients) can indicate condition severity.

Further studies are required to evaluate the role of antibodies to NMDA receptors in the development of mental disorders.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Funding source. The work was carried out within the framework of the state assignment “Study of affective disorders and psychotic spectrum disorders in patients with different levels of antibodies to NMDA receptors” (registration number 123022000057-4).

Competing interests. The author declares that they have no competing interests.

ДОПОЛНИТЕЛЬНАЯ ИНФОРМАЦИЯ

Источник финансирования. Работа выполнена в рамках государственного задания «Изучение аффективных расстройств и расстройств психотического спектра у пациентов с различным уровнем антител к NMDA-рецепторам» (регистрационный номер 123022000057-4).

Конфликт интересов. Автор декларирует отсутствие явных и потенциальных конфликтов интересов, связанных с публикацией настоящей статьи.

⁶ TNF is a tumor necrosis factor, a cytokine produced mainly by monocytes and macrophages and regulating intercellular interactions during the immune response.

REFERENCES

1. Vitaliani R, Mason V, Ances B et al. Paraneoplastic encephalitis, psychiatric symptoms, and hypoventilation in ovarian teratoma. *Ann Neurol*. 2005;5(4):594–604. doi: 10.1002/ana.20614
2. Dalmau J, Tuzun E, Wu H et al. Paraneoplastic anti-N-methyl-D-aspartate encephalitis associated with ovarian teratoma. *Ann Neurol*. 2007;61(1):25–36. doi: 10.1002/ana.21050
3. Zhao X, Teng Y, Ni J et al. Systematic review: Clinical characteristics of anti-N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor encephalitis. *Front Hum Neurosci*. 2023;17:1261638. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2023.1261638
4. Dalmau J, Armangué T, Planagumà J et al. An update on anti-NMDA receptor encephalitis for neurologists and psychiatrists: mechanisms and models. *Lancet Neurol*. 2019;11(18):1045–1057. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(19)30244-3
5. Murashko AA. Anti-NMDA receptor encephalitis mimic psychiatric disorders. *Social and Clinical Psychiatry*. 2022;32(4):59–67. (In Russ.)
6. Shmukler AB, Murashko AA. *Practical recommendations for the diagnosis and treatment of patients with schizophrenia and other psychotic spectrum disorders with elevated levels of serum antibodies to NMDA receptors*. Information letter. M.: National Research Center for Psychiatry and Narcology; 2022. 26 p. (In Russ.)
7. Gillinder L, Warren N, Hartel G et al. EEG findings in NMDA encephalitis — A systematic review. *Seizure*. 2019;65:20–24. doi: 10.1016/j.seizure.2018.12.015
8. Blackman G, Lim MF, Pollak Th et al. The clinical relevance of serum versus CSF NMDAR autoantibodies associated exclusively with psychiatric features: A systematic review and meta-analysis of individual patient data. *J Neurol*. 2022;269:5302–5311. doi: 10.1007/s00415-022-11224-6
9. Karyakina MV, Murashko AA. Cognitive impairment in patients with anti-NMDA receptor encephalitis. *Social and Clinical Psychiatry*. 2022;32(1):82–88. (In Russ.)
10. McKeon GL, Robinson GA, Ryan AE et al. Cognitive outcomes following anti-N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor encephalitis: A systematic review. *J Clin Exper Neuropsychol*. 2018;40(3):234–252. doi: 10.1080/13803395.2017.1329408
11. Murashko AA. Mental disorders in autoimmune encephalitis. *Social and Clinical Psychiatry*. 2021;31(1):74–79. (In Russ.)
12. Al-Diwani A, Handel A, Townsend L et al. The psychopathology of NMDAR-antibody encephalitis in adults: A systematic review and phenotypic analysis of individual patient data. *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2019;6(3):235–246. doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(19)30001-X
13. Wang W, Zhang L, Chi X-S et al. Psychiatric symptoms of patients with anti-NMDA receptor encephalitis. *Front Neurol*. 2020;10:1330. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2019.01330
14. Kayser MS, Titulaer MJ, Gresa-Arribas N, Dalmau J. Frequency and characteristics of isolated psychiatric episodes in anti-N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor encephalitis. *JAMA Neurol*. 2013;70(9):1133–1139. doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2013.3216
15. Kawai H, Takaki M, Sakamoto S et al. Anti-NMDA-receptor antibody in initial diagnosis of mood disorder. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol*. 2019;29(9):1041–1050. doi: 10.1016/j.euroneuro.2019.07.137
16. Spektor VA. The role of antibodies to NMDA-receptors in the development of affective disorders. *Social and Clinical Psychiatry*. 2023;33(2):82–90. (In Russ.)
17. Leonhard K. *Aufteilung der endogenen Psychosen und ihre differenzierte Aetiologie*. M.: Practicheskaya medicina; 2010. 456 p. (In Russ.)
18. Komagamine T, Kanbayashi T, Suzuki K et al. “Atypical psychoses” and anti-NMDA receptor encephalitis: A review of literature in the mid-twentieth century. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci*. 2022;76(2):62–63. doi: 10.1111/pcn.13317
19. Mitsuda H. Klinisch-erbbiologische Untersuchung der Schizophrenie. *Psychiatr Neurol Jap*. 1942;46: 297–362. (In Japanese; quoted by Komagamine T et al., 2022.)
20. Hatotani N, Ishida C, Yura R et al. Psychophysiological studies of atypical psychoses—endocrinological aspect of periodic psychoses. *Folia Psychiatr Neurol Jap*. 1962;16:248–292. (Quoted by Komagamine T et al., 2022.)
21. Servén GE, Quintana BE, Ramírez MM et al. Cycloid psychosis as a psychiatric expression of anti-NMDAR encephalitis. A systematic review of case reports accomplished with the authors' cooperation. *Brain Behav*. 2021;11:e01980. doi: 10.1002/brb3.198
22. Ehrenreich H. Autoantibodies against N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor 1 in health and disease. *Curr Opin Neurol*. 2018;31(3):306–312. doi: 10.1097/WCO.0000000000000546
23. Gastaldi VD, Wilke JBH, Weidinger CA. Factors predisposing to humoral autoimmunity against brain-antigens in health and disease: Analysis of 49 autoantibodies in over 7000 subjects. *Brain Behav Immunity*. 2023;108:135–147. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2022.10.016
24. Shmukler AB, Ivashkina AA, Murashko AA. A case of anti-NMDA-receptor encephalitis presenting as febrile catatonia. *Bulletin of Siberian Medicine*. 2019;18(4):266–272. (In Russ.) doi: 10.20538/1682-0363-2019-4-266-272
25. Kiryanova EM, Salnikova LI. Clinical case anti-NMDA receptor encephalitis. *Social and Clinical Psychiatry*. 2023;33(4):81–89. (In Russ.) doi: 10.34757/0869-4893.2023.33.4.010
26. Davydovsky IV. *The problem of causation in medicine (etiology)*. M.: Medgiz; 1962. 130 p. (In Russ.)
27. Yu Y, Wu Y, Cao X et al. The clinical features and prognosis of anti-NMDAR encephalitis depends on blood brain barrier integrity. *Mult Scler Relat Disord*. 2021. Art. 102604. doi: 10.1016/j.msard.2020.102604
28. Endres D, Meixensberger S, Dersch R et al. Cerebrospinal fluid, antineuronal autoantibody, EEG, and MRI findings from 992 patients with schizophreniform and affective psychosis. *Transl Psychiatry*. 2020;10(1):279. doi: 10.1038/s41398-020-00967-3
29. Barkanova V, Shmukler AB. Disruption of the blood-brain barrier integrity and the development of movement disorders in patients with autoimmune diseases, characterized by the presence of psychopathological symptoms. *Russian Psychiatric Journal*. 2023;(3):91–103. (In Russ.)
30. Ma Y, Wang J, Guo S et al., Cytokine/chemokine levels in the CSF and serum of anti-NMDAR encephalitis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front Immunol*. 2023;13:1064007. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.1064007
31. Nabizadeh F, Balabandian M, Sodeifian F et al. Autoimmune encephalitis associated with COVID-19: A systematic review. *Mult Scler Relat Disord*. 2022;62:103795. doi: 10.1016/j.msard.2022.103795
32. Swayne A, Warren N, Prain K et al. Analysing triggers for anti-NMDA-receptor encephalitis including herpes simplex virus encephalitis and ovarian teratoma: Results from the Queensland Autoimmune Encephalitis cohort. *Intern Med J*. 2022;52(11):1943–1949. doi: 10.1111/imj.15472
33. Levite M. Glutamate receptor antibodies in neurological diseases: Anti-AMPA-GluR3 antibodies, anti-NMDA-NR1 antibodies, anti-NMDA-NR2A/B antibodies, anti-mGluR1 antibodies or anti-

mGluR5 antibodies are present in subpopulations of patients with either: Epilepsy, encephalitis, cerebellar ataxia, systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) and neuropsychiatric SLE, Sjogren's syndrome, schizophrenia, mania or stroke. *J Neural Transm (Vienna)*. 2014;121(8):1029–1075. doi: 10.1007/s00702-014-1193-3

СПИСОК ЛИТЕРАТУРЫ

1. Vitaliani R., Mason V., Ances B. et al. Paraneoplastic encephalitis, psychiatric symptoms, and hypoventilation in ovarian teratoma // *Ann. Neurol.* 2005. Vol. 5. N. 4. P. 594–604. doi: 10.1002/ana.20614
2. Dalmau J., Tuzun E., Wu H. et al. Paraneoplastic anti-N-methyl-D-aspartate encephalitis associated with ovarian teratoma // *Ann. Neurol.* 2007. Vol. 61. N. 1. P. 25–36. doi: 10.1002/ana.21050
3. Zhao X., Teng Y., Ni J. et al. Systematic review: Clinical characteristics of anti-N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor encephalitis // *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 2023. Vol. 17. P. 1261638. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2023.1261638
4. Dalmau J., Armangué T., Planagumà J. et al. An update on anti-NMDA receptor encephalitis for neurologists and psychiatrists: mechanisms and models // *Lancet Neurol.* 2019. Vol. 11. N. 18. P. 1045–1057. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(19)30244-3
5. Мурашко А.А. Мимикрия: анти-NMDA-рецепторный энцефалит и психические расстройства // *Социальная и клиническая психиатрия*. 2022. Т. 32. №4. С. 59–67.
6. Шмуклер А.Б., Мурашко А.А. Практические рекомендации по диагностике и терапии пациентов с шизофренией и другими расстройствами психотического спектра с повышенным уровнем сывороточных антител к NMDA-рецепторам / Информационное письмо. М.: Национальный медицинский исследовательский центр психиатрии и наркологии имени В.П. Сербского; 2022. 26 с.
7. Gillinder L., Warren N., Hartel G. et al. EEG findings in NMDA encephalitis — A systematic review // *Seizure*. 2019. Vol. 65. P. 20–24. doi: 10.1016/j.seizure.2018.12.015
8. Blackman G., Lim M.F., Pollak Th. et al. The clinical relevance of serum versus CSF NMDAR autoantibodies associated exclusively with psychiatric features: A systematic review and meta-analysis of individual patient data // *J. Neurol.* 2022. Vol. 269. P. 5302–5311. doi: 10.1007/s00415-022-11224-6
9. Карякина М.В., Мурашко А.А. Когнитивные нарушения у пациентов с анти-NMDA-рецепторным энцефалитом // *Социальная и клиническая психиатрия*. 2022. Т. 32. №1. С. 82–88.
10. McKeon G.L., Robinson G.A., Ryan A.E. et al. Cognitive outcomes following anti-N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor encephalitis: A systematic review // *J. Clin. Exper. Neuropsychol.* 2018. Vol. 40. N. 3. P. 234–252. doi: 10.1080/13803395.2017.1329408
11. Мурашко А.А. Психические нарушения при аутоиммунных энцефалитах // *Социальная и клиническая психиатрия*. 2021. Т. 31. №1. С. 74–79.
12. Al-Diwani A., Handel A., Townsend L. et al. The psychopathology of NMDAR-antibody encephalitis in adults: A systematic review and phenotypic analysis of individual patient data // *Lancet. Psychiatry*. 2019. Vol. 3. N. 6. P. 235–246. doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(19)30001-X
13. Wang W., Zhang L., Chi X.-S. et al. Psychiatric symptoms of patients with anti-NMDA receptor encephalitis // *Front. Neurol.* 2020. Vol. 10. Art. 1330. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2019.01330
14. Kayser M.S., Titulaer M.J., Gresa-Arribas N., Dalmau J. Frequency and characteristics of isolated psychiatric episodes in anti-N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor encephalitis // *JAMA Neurol.* 2013. Vol. 70. N. 9. P. 1133–1139. doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2013.3216
15. Kawai H., Takaki M., Sakamoto S. et al. Anti-NMDA-receptor antibody in initial diagnosis of mood disorder // *Eur. Neuropsychopharmacol.* 2019. Vol. 29. N. 9. P. 1041–1050. doi: 10.1016/j.euroneuro.2019.07.137
16. Спектор В.А. Роль антител к NMDA-рецепторам в развитии аффективных расстройств // *Социальная и клиническая психиатрия*. 2023. Т. 33. №2. С. 82–90.
17. Леонгард К. Систематика эндогенных психозов и их дифференцированная этиология. М.: Практическая медицина; 2010. 456 с.
18. Komagamine T., Kanbayashi T., Suzuki K. et al. "Atypical psychoses" and anti-NMDA receptor encephalitis: A review of literature in the mid-twentieth century // *Psychiatry Clin. Neurosci.* 2022. Vol. 76. N. 2. P. 62–63. doi: 10.1111/pcn.13317
19. Mitsuda H. Klinisch-erbbiologische Untersuchung der Schizophrenie // *Psychiatr. Neurol. Jap.* 1942. Vol. 46. S. 297–362. (In Japanese; quoted by Komagamine T. et al., 2022.)
20. Hatotani N., Ishida C., Yura R. et al. Psychophysiological studies of atypical psychoses—endocrinological aspect of periodic psychoses // *Folia Psychiatr. Neurol. Jap.* 1962. Vol. 16. P. 248–292. (Цит. по Komagamine T. et al., 2022.)
21. Servén G.E., Quintana B.E., Ramírez M.M. et al. Cycloid psychosis as a psychiatric expression of anti-NMDAR encephalitis. A systematic review of case reports accomplished with the authors' cooperation // *Brain Behav.* 2021. Vol. 11. P. e01980. doi: 10.1002/brb3.198
22. Ehrenreich H. Autoantibodies against N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor 1 in health and disease // *Curr. Opin. Neurol.* 2018. Vol. 31. N. 3. P. 306–312. doi: 10.1097/WCO.0000000000000546
23. Gastaldi V.D., Wilke J.B.H., Weidinger C.A. Factors predisposing to humoral autoimmunity against brain-antigens in health and disease: Analysis of 49 autoantibodies in over 7000 subjects // *Brain. Behav. Immunity*. 2023. Vol. 108. P. 135–147. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2022.10.016
24. Шмуклер А.Б., Ивашкина А.А., Мурашко А.А. Случай анти-NMDA-рецепторного энцефалита с клинической картиной фебрильной кататонии // *Бюллетень сибирской медицины*. 2019. Т. 18. №4. С. 266–272. doi: 10.20538/1682-0363-2019-4-266-272
25. Кирьянова Е.М., Сальникова Л.И. Клинический случай анти-NMDA-рецепторного энцефалита // *Социальная и клиническая психиатрия*. 2023. Т. 33. №4. С. 81–89. doi: 10.34757/0869-4893.2023.33.4.010
26. Давыдовский И.В. Проблема причинности в медицине (этиология). М.: Медгиз; 1962. 130 с.
27. Yu Y., Wu Y., Cao X. et al. The clinical features and prognosis of anti-NMDAR encephalitis depends on blood brain barrier integrity // *Mult. Scler. Relat. Disord.* 2021. Art. 102604. doi: 10.1016/j.msard.2020.102604
28. Endres D., Meixensberger S., Dersch R. et al. Cerebrospinal fluid, antineuronal autoantibody, EEG, and MRI findings from 992 patients with schizophreniform and affective psychosis // *Transl. Psychiatry*. 2020. Vol. 10. N. 1. P. 279. doi: 10.1038/s41398-020-00967-3

29. Барканова В., Шмуклер А.Б. Роль нарушения целостности гематоэнцефалического барьера в развитии двигательных нарушений при аутоиммунных заболеваниях, сопровождающихся психопатологической симптоматикой // Российский психиатрический журнал. 2023. №3. С. 91–103.

30. Ma Y., Wang J., Guo S. et al. Cytokine/chemokine levels in the CSF and serum of anti-NMDAR encephalitis: A systematic review and meta-analysis // *Front. Immunol.* 2023. Vol. 13. Art. 1064007. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.1064007

31. Nabizadeh F., Balabandian M., Sodeifian F. et al. Autoimmune encephalitis associated with COVID-19: A systematic review // *Mult. Scler. Relat. Disord.* 2022. Vol. 62. Art. 103795. doi: 10.1016/j.msard.2022.103795

32. Swayne A., Warren N., Prain K. et al. Analysing triggers for anti-NMDA-receptor encephalitis including herpes simplex virus

encephalitis and ovarian teratoma: Results from the Queensland Autoimmune Encephalitis cohort // *Intern. Med. J.* 2022. Vol. 52. N. 11. P. 1943–1949. doi: 10.1111/imj.15472

33. Levite M. Glutamate receptor antibodies in neurological diseases: Anti-AMPA-GluR3 antibodies, anti-NMDA-NR1 antibodies, anti-NMDA-NR2A/B antibodies, anti-mGluR1 antibodies or anti-mGluR5 antibodies are present in subpopulations of patients with either: Epilepsy, encephalitis, cerebellar ataxia, systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) and neuropsychiatric SLE, Sjogren's syndrome, schizophrenia, mania or stroke // *J. Neural. Transm. (Vienna)*. 2014. Vol. 121. N. 8. P. 1029–1075. doi: 10.1007/s00702-014-1193-3

34. Gerosa M., Poletti B., Pregolato F. et al. Antiglutamate receptor antibodies and cognitive impairment in primary antiphospholipid syndrome and systemic lupus erythematosus // *Front. Immunol.* 2016. Vol. 7. Art. 5. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2016.00005

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Alexander B. Shmukler, MD, Dr. Sci. (Med.), Prof.;
address: 23 Kropotkinsky lane, 119034, Moscow, Russia;
ORCID: 0000-0002-7187-9361;
eLibrary SPIN: 4932-7980;
e-mail: ashmukler@yandex.ru

ОБ АВТОРЕ

Шмуклер Александр Борисович, д-р мед. наук, профессор;
адрес: Россия, 119034, Москва, Кропоткинский пер., 23;
ORCID: 0000-0002-7187-9361;
eLibrary SPIN: 4932-7980;
e-mail: ashmukler@yandex.ru