



MSC: 47H09, 47H10, 54H25

# A common fixed-point result via a supplemental function with an application

*Y. Touail<sup>1</sup>, A. Jaid<sup>2</sup>, D. El Moutawakil<sup>3</sup>*<sup>1</sup> Université Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah, Fez, Morocco.<sup>2</sup> Université Sultan Moulay Slimane, Beni-Mellal, Morocco.<sup>3</sup> Université Chouaib Doukkali, El Jadida, Morocco.

## Abstract

In this paper, we prove a novel common fixed-point theorem for two commuting mappings. This assertion is proved using the measure of non-compactness in Banach spaces. Moreover, an application is given to demonstrate the usability of the obtained results.

**Keywords:** fixed point, measure of non-compactness,  $\mathcal{T}$ -contraction.

Received: 1<sup>st</sup> October, 2023 / Revised: 23<sup>rd</sup> October, 2024 /

Accepted: 16<sup>th</sup> December, 2024 / First online: 25<sup>th</sup> December, 2024


**1. Introduction.** One of the most widely used techniques for proving that a certain system of equations has a solution is to reformulate the problem as a common fixed point problem and see if the latter can be solved using this approach. Measures of non-compactness play an important role in fixed point theory and have many applications in various branches of nonlinear analysis, including differential equations, optimization, variational inequalities, etc. We refer the reader to [1–10].

## Differential Equations and Mathematical Physics

### Short Communication

© Authors, 2024

© Samara State Technical University, 2024 (Compilation, Design, and Layout)

 The content is published under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

### Please cite this article in press as:

Touail Y., Jaid A., El Moutawakil D. A common fixed-point result via a supplemental function with an application, *Vestn. Samar. Gos. Tekhn. Univ., Ser. Fiz.-Mat. Nauki* [J. Samara State Tech. Univ., Ser. Phys. Math. Sci.], 2024, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 790–798. EDN: PXHWMS. DOI: [10.14498/vsgtu2074](https://doi.org/10.14498/vsgtu2074).

### Authors' Details:


*Youssef Touail*  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3593-8253>

Département de Mathématique; FSDM, Faculté des Sciences Dhar El Mahraz;

e-mail: [youssef9touail@gmail.com](mailto:youssef9touail@gmail.com)

*Amine Jaid*  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7322-2008>

Equipe de Recherche en Mathématiques Appliquées, Technologies de l'Information et de la Communication; Faculté Polydisciplinaire de Khouribga; e-mail: [aminejaid1990@gmail.com](mailto:aminejaid1990@gmail.com)

*Driss El Moutawakil*  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3082-0125>

Département de Mathématique; Ecole Supérieure de l'Education et de la Formation;

e-mail: [d.elmoutawakil@gmail.com](mailto:d.elmoutawakil@gmail.com)

As a very important result in fixed point theory, the Darbo fixed-point theorem [11] has novel applications in both linear and nonlinear models and generalizes both the classical Schauder fixed point principle [12] and a special type of Banach contraction principle [13].

In 1998, Jungck [14] introduced the concept of weakly compatible pairs of mappings, which are mappings that commute at their coincidence points. In a recent paper [15], the authors proved a common fixed point result for this type of mappings in a bounded metric space  $(X, d)$ , without assuming compactness, and satisfying the condition:

$$\inf_{x \neq y \in X} \{d(fx, fy) - d(gx, gy)\} > 0.$$

For more information, see [16–21]. Similarly, the authors in [22] showed a result for a class of condensing mappings without using the regularity of the measure  $\mu$ , under the condition:

$$\inf\{\mu(\Omega) - \mu(T(\Omega)) : \Omega \subset X, \mu(\Omega) > 0\} > 0. \quad (1)$$

Our main purpose in this work is to extend condition (1) to a pair of mappings  $S$  and  $T$  under the condition:

$$\inf\{\mu(\Omega) - \mu(ST(\Omega)) + \beta(\Omega) : \Omega \subset X, \mu(\Omega) > 0\} > 0, \quad (2)$$

without using the regularity of  $\mu$ , where  $\beta$  is an auxiliary function to ensure the extension in the same direction (i.e., without regularity).

In this paper, we introduce the concept of a  $\mathcal{T}$ -contractive mapping in Banach spaces and thus a new common fixed point theorem for the new type mentioned in (2).

Finally, in the last section, we provide an existence result for a class of systems of the type:

$$\begin{cases} x(t) = k(t, x(t)), \\ x(t) = k(t, Tx(t)), \end{cases}$$

where  $x \in X = \mathcal{C}([0, \tau], \mathbb{R})$  is the space of all continuous functions from  $[0, \tau]$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ , with  $\tau > 0$ .

**2. Preliminary.** Here, we recall some facts that will be used in our main result. Let  $X$  be a real Banach space. Furthermore, we suppose that  $\overline{B}$  and  $\text{Co}(B)$  denote the closure and convex hull of  $B$ , respectively. Moreover, let us denote by  $\mathcal{M}_X$  the family of all nonempty and bounded subsets of  $X$ , and by  $\mathcal{N}_X$  its subfamily consisting of all relatively compact sets.

**DEFINITION 1** [23]. A map  $\mu : \mathcal{M}_X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is called a measure of non-compactness defined on  $X$  if it satisfies the following properties:

- (i) The family  $\ker \mu = \{B \in \mathcal{M}_X : \mu(B) = 0\}$  is nonempty and  $\ker \mu \subset \mathcal{N}_X$ ;
- (ii)  $A \subset B \Rightarrow \mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$ ;
- (iii)  $\mu(B) = \mu(\overline{B}) = \mu(\text{Co}(B))$ ;
- (iv)  $\mu(\lambda A + (1 - \lambda)B) \leq \lambda\mu(A) + (1 - \lambda)\mu(B)$  for all  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  and  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_X$ ;
- (v) If  $\{B_n\}$  is a decreasing sequence of nonempty, closed, and bounded subsets of  $X$  with  $\lim \mu(B_n) = 0$ , then  $B_\infty = \cap_n B_n \neq \emptyset$ .

We distinguish important classes of measures of non-compactness.

DEFINITION 2 [23]. Let  $\mu$  be a measure of non-compactness in a Banach space  $X$ . The measure  $\mu$  is homogeneous if  $\mu(\lambda A) = |\lambda|\mu(A)$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . If the measure  $\mu$  satisfied the condition  $\mu(A + B) \leq \mu(A) + \mu(B)$ , it is called subadditive. A measure  $\mu$  that is both homogeneous and subadditive is said to be sublinear.

DEFINITION 3 [23]. We say that a measure of non-compactness  $\mu$  has the maximum property if  $\mu(A \cup B) = \max\{\mu(A), \mu(B)\}$ .

DEFINITION 4 [23]. A sublinear measure of non-compactness  $\mu$  that has the maximum property and  $\ker \mu = \mathcal{N}_X$  is called a regular measure.

THEOREM 1 [12]. Let  $C$  be a closed, convex subset of a Banach space  $X$ . Then every compact, continuous map  $T : C \rightarrow C$  has at least one fixed point.

THEOREM 2 (DARBO'S THEOREM) [11]. Let  $C$  be a nonempty, bounded, closed and convex subset of a Banach space  $X$ , and let  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be a continuous mapping. Assume that there exists a constant  $k \in [0, 1)$  such that:

$$\mu(T(\Omega)) \leq k\mu(\Omega)$$

for any subset  $\Omega$  of  $C$ . Then,  $T$  has at least one fixed point. Here,  $\mu$  is an arbitrary measure of non-compactness.

LEMMA 1 [22]. If  $\mu$  is a measure of non-compactness, then  $\nu = e^\mu - 1$  is a measure of non-compactness.

We present generalizations of Darbo's theorem.

THEOREM 3 [24]. Suppose that  $C$  is a nonempty, bounded, closed, and convex subset of a Banach space  $X$  and  $T : C \rightarrow C$  a continuous mapping. If for any nonempty subset  $\Omega$  of  $C$  with  $\mu(\Omega) > 0$  we have:

$$\mu(T(\Omega)) < \mu(\Omega).$$

Then,  $T$  has at least one fixed point in  $C$ . Here,  $\mu$  is a regular measure of non-compactness in  $X$ .

THEOREM 4 [25]. Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff complete and locally convex space, whose topology is defined by a family of semi-norms  $\mathcal{P}$ . Let  $C$  be a convex closed bounded subset of  $X$ , let  $I$  be a set of indices, and let  $\{T_i\}_{i \in I}$  and  $S$  be two continuous functions from  $C$  into  $C$  such that:

- (i) For any  $i \in I$ ,  $T_i$  commutes with  $S$ ;
- (ii) For any  $\Omega \subset C$  and  $i \in I$ , we have  $T_i(\text{Co}(\Omega)) \subset \text{Co}(T(\Omega))$ ;
- (iii) There exists  $k \in (0, 1)$  such that for any  $\Omega \subset C$

$$\mu(S(\Omega))(p) \leq k \sup_{i \in I} \mu(T_i(\Omega))(p), \quad p \in \mathcal{P};$$

- (iv) For any  $i \in I$ ,  $T_i$  is a commuting family.

Then,  $T_i$  and  $S$  have a common fixed point.

**3. The main theorem.** In this section, we prove our main theorem. To this end, we introduce a definition and establish a lemma.

DEFINITION 5. Let  $X$  be a bounded Banach space and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping.  $T$  is called  $\mathcal{T}$ -contractive if:

$$\inf\{\mu(\Omega) - \mu(T(\Omega)) + \beta(\Omega) : \Omega \subset X, \mu(\Omega) > 0\} > 0.$$

Where  $\beta : \mathcal{M}_X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is an arbitrary function.

LEMMA 2. Let  $X$  be a bounded Banach space and  $S, T : X \rightarrow X$  be two continuous commuting mappings. Suppose that there exists a function  $\alpha : \mathcal{M}_X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  such that:

- (i) There exists a nonempty set  $\Omega_0 \subset X$  such that  $\alpha(\Omega_0) = 1$ ;
- (ii)  $T$  is a linear mapping;
- (iii) There exists  $k \in (0, 1)$  such that for any  $\Omega \subset X$  we have

$$\alpha(\Omega)\mu(ST(\Omega)) \leq k\mu(\Omega).$$

Then,  $S$  and  $T$  have at least one common fixed point. Where  $\mu$  is a sublinear measure of non-compactness.

*Proof.* Let  $\emptyset \neq \Omega \subset X$ . Consider the operator  $A_\Omega$  defined on  $X$  by

$$A_\Omega(x) = k\alpha(\Omega)ST(x) + (1 - k)T(x).$$

It is clear that the operator  $A_\Omega$  commutes with  $T$ . By using sublinearity of  $\mu$  and hypothesis (iii), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(A_\Omega(\Omega)) &\leq k\alpha(\Omega)\mu(ST(\Omega)) + (1 - k)\mu(T(\Omega)) \leq \\ &\leq k^2\mu(\Omega) + (1 - k)\mu(T(\Omega)) \leq \\ &\leq (k^2 + 1 - k) \sup\{\mu(\Omega), \mu(T(\Omega))\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $k \in (0, 1)$ , we have  $k^2 < k \Rightarrow k^2 + 1 - k < k + 1 - k = 1$ . It follows by applying Theorem 4 that  $\mathcal{F} = \{x \in X : A_\Omega(x) = T(x) = x\} \neq \emptyset$  for all  $\Omega$ .

Now, let  $x \in \mathcal{F}$ , we have  $A_\Omega(x) = k\alpha(\Omega)ST(x) + (1 - k)T(x) = T(x) = x$ , which leads to  $\alpha(\Omega)S(x) = x = T(x)$  for all  $\Omega$ . Since  $\alpha(\Omega_0) = 1$ , we deduce that  $S$  and  $T$  have at least one common fixed point.  $\square$

REMARKS. We present some remarks about Lemma 2:

- For  $\alpha(\Omega) = 1$  for all  $\Omega$  and  $T = Id_X$ , we obtain a new extension of Darbo's theorem (Theorem 2).
- It is well known that if the operator  $ST$  has a fixed point, then  $S$  and  $T$  do not necessarily have a fixed point or a common fixed point. In comparison, our lemma ensures the existence of common fixed points of  $S$  and  $T$  whenever  $ST$  has a fixed point.

Now, we are ready to prove the main theorem of this paper, which can be considered as a real extension of [22, Theorem 3.2].

THEOREM 5 (MAIN THEOREM). Let  $X$  be a bounded Banach space, and let  $S, T : X \rightarrow X$  be two continuous commuting mappings such that:

- (i) There exists a nonempty set  $\Omega_0 \subset X$  such that  $\beta(\Omega_0) = 0$ ;
- (ii)  $T$  is a linear mapping;
- (iii)  $ST$  is a  $\mathcal{T}$ -contractive operator;
- (iv)  $\beta(B) \leq \inf\{\mu(\Omega) - \mu(ST(\Omega)) + \beta(\Omega) : \Omega \subset X, \mu(\Omega) > 0\}$  for all  $B \subset X$ .

Then,  $S$  and  $T$  have at least one common fixed point. Here,  $\mu$  is a sublinear measure of non-compactness.

*Proof.* Letting:

$$M = \inf\{\mu(\Omega) - \mu(ST(\Omega)) + \beta(\Omega) : \Omega \subset X, \mu(\Omega) > 0\}.$$

So, we have:

$$\mu(ST(\Omega)) - \beta(\Omega) \leq \mu(\Omega) - M,$$

for all  $\Omega \subset X$ , with  $\mu(\Omega) > 0$ .

Hence,

$$\alpha(\Omega)e^{\mu(ST(\Omega))} \leq ke^{\mu(\Omega)},$$

where

$$k = e^{-M} < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha(\Omega) = e^{-\beta(\Omega)}.$$

Thus, by using (iv), we get:

$$\alpha(\Omega)\nu(ST(\Omega)) \leq k\nu(\Omega),$$

where  $\nu$  is the measure of non-compactness proved in Lemma 1.

According to Lemma 2, we deduce that  $S$  and  $T$  have at least one common fixed point.  $\square$

EXAMPLE. Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$  and  $C = [-1, 1] \subset X$  endowed with the usual metric  $d(x, y) = |x - y|$ . Define two self-mappings  $S, T$  on  $C$  by:

$$Sx = x/3 \quad \text{and} \quad Tx = x/2.$$

We have:

$$STx = x/6 = TSx.$$

Consider the measure of non-compactness of the norm [23], defined on  $X$  by:

$$\mu(\Omega) = \sup_{x \in \Omega} \|x\|.$$

It is clear that  $\mu$  is a sublinear measure of non-compactness and not regular, because:

$$\ker \mu = \{0\} \neq \mathcal{N}_X.$$

Let  $\beta$  be the function defined by:

$$\beta(\Omega) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \Omega = \{0\}, \\ 1 - 5\|\Omega\|/6, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $\Omega \subset X$  such that  $\mu(\Omega) > 0$ . We have:

$$\mu(\Omega) - \mu(ST(\Omega)) + \beta(\Omega) = 1.$$

Then,

$$\inf\{\mu(\Omega) - \mu(ST(\Omega)) + \beta(\Omega) : \Omega \subset X, \mu(\Omega) > 0\} \geq \beta(B),$$

for all  $B \subset X$ .

Hence, all assumptions of Theorem 5 are satisfied, and  $0 = S0 = T0$ .

REMARK. The operators  $S, T$  defined in the above example are such that  $\mu(ST(\Omega)) \leq \mu(\Omega)$ . Thus, our result guarantees the existence of a class of contractions of this type without using regularity.

COROLLARY [22]. Let  $X$  be a bounded Banach space, and let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a continuous mapping such that:

$$\inf\{\mu(\Omega) - \mu(T(\Omega)) : \Omega \subset X, \mu(\Omega) > 0\} > 0.$$

Then,  $T$  has at least one fixed point.

**4. Application.** In this section, we investigate the existence of solutions for the system of equations:

$$\begin{cases} x(t) = k(t, x(t)), \\ x(t) = k(t, Tx(t)), \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where  $x \in \mathcal{C}([0, \tau], \mathbb{R})$  is the space of all continuous functions from  $[0, \tau]$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ , with  $\tau > 0$ .

The function  $k : [0, \tau] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous, and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is a linear continuous mapping.

Let  $X = \mathcal{C}([0, \tau], \mathbb{R})$  be equipped with the norm  $\|\cdot\| : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  defined by:

$$\|x\| = \sup_{t \in [0, \tau]} |x(t)|.$$

Let us now consider the mapping  $S : X \rightarrow X$  defined as follows:

$$Sx(t) = k(t, x(t)),$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

Therefore, (3) has a solution if and only if  $S$  and  $T$  have a common fixed point.

Under the above assumptions, we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 6. Assume that there exist  $M > 0$  and a function  $\theta : [0, \tau] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that for any  $t \in [0, \tau]$  and  $x \in X$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(t, x(t)) \geq 0 &\implies k(t, T(x(t))) \leq x(t) - M, \\ \theta(t, x(t)) < 0 &\implies k(t, T(x(t))) \leq x(t), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$T(k(t, x(t))) = k(t, T(x(t))), \quad (4)$$

for any  $(t, x) \in [0, \tau] \times X$ .

Then,  $S$  and  $T$  have at least one common fixed point.

*Proof.* Note that (4) implies that  $S$  and  $T$  are commuting mappings.

Now, let  $t \in [0, \tau]$ ,  $\Omega \subset X$ , and  $x \in \Omega$ . We discuss two cases:

**Case 1:** If  $\theta(t, x(t)) \geq 0$ , we have:

$$ST(x)(t) = k(t, Tx(t)) \leq x(t) - M \leq \|x\| - M \leq \sup_{x \in \Omega} \|x\| - M.$$

**Case 2:** If  $\theta(t, x(t)) < 0$ , we have:

$$ST(x)(t) = k(t, Tx(t)) \leq x(t) \leq \|x\| \leq \sup_{x \in \Omega} \|x\|.$$

By considering:

$$\beta(\Omega) = \begin{cases} M, & \text{if } \theta(t, x(t)) < 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } \theta(t, x(t)) \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

we obtain:

$$\inf \{ \|\Omega\| - \|ST(\Omega)\| + \beta(\Omega) : \Omega \subset X, \|\Omega\| > 0 \} \geq \beta(B),$$

for all  $B \subset X$ , where  $\|\cdot\|$  is the measure of non-compactness of the norm [23].

By applying Theorem 5, we deduce that  $S$  and  $T$  have a common fixed point.  $\square$

**Competing interests.** On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

**Authors' contributions and responsibilities.** Each author contributed to the development of the concept of the article and to the writing of the manuscript. The authors take full responsibility for submitting the final manuscript for publication. Each author has approved the final version of the manuscript.

**Data availability.** No data were used to support this study.

## References

1. Aghajani A., Pourhadi E., Trujillo J. Application of measure of noncompactness to a Cauchy problem for fractional differential equations in Banach spaces, *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.*, 2013, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 962–977. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2478/s13540-013-0059-y>.
2. Ali A. A., Amar A. B. Measures of weak noncompactness, nonlinear Leray–Schauder alternatives in Banach algebras satisfying condition (p) and an application, *Quaest. Math.*, 2016, vol. 39, no. 3, pp. 319–340. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2989/16073606.2015.1070378>.
3. Ammar A., Jeribi A. Measures of noncompactness and essential pseudospectra on Banach space, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 2014, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 447–452. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/mma.2808>.
4. Arab R., Allahyari R., Haghighi A. S. Existence of solutions of infinite systems of integral equations in two variables via measure of noncompactness, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 2014, vol. 246, pp. 283–291. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2014.08.023>.
5. Banaś J. Measures of noncompactness in the study of solutions of nonlinear differential and integral equations, *Open Math.*, 2012, vol. 10, no. 6, pp. 2003–2011. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2478/s11533-012-0120-9>.
6. Lixin C., Qingjin C., Qinrui S., et al. A new approach to measures of noncompactness of Banach spaces, *Stud. Math.*, 2018, vol. 240, pp. 21–45. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4064/sm8448-2-2017>.
7. Mursaleen M. Application of measure of noncompactness to infinite systems of differential equations, *Can. Math. Bull.*, 2013, vol. 56, no. 2, pp. 388–394. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4153/CMB-2011-170-7>.
8. Nikbakhtsarvestani F., Vaezpour S. M., Asadi M.  $F(\psi, \varphi)$ -Contraction in terms of measure of noncompactness with application for nonlinear integral equations, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, 2017, vol. 2017, 271. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13660-017-1545-2>.
9. Vetro C., Vetro F. On the existence of at least a solution for functional integral equations via measure of noncompactness, *Banach J. Math. Anal.*, 2017, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 497–512. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1215/17358787-2017-0003>.
10. Kuratowski K. Sur les espaces complets, *Fundam. Math.*, 1930, vol. 15, pp. 301–309 (In French).
11. Darbo G. Punti uniti in trasformazioni a codominio non compatto, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova*, 1955, vol. 24, pp. 84–92 (In Italian).

12. Schauder J. Der Fixpunktsatz in Funktionalräumen, *Stud. Math.*, 1930, vol. 2, pp. 171–180 (In German).
13. Banach St. Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales, *Fund. Math.*, 1922, vol. 3, pp. 133–181 (In French).
14. Jungck G., Rhoades B. E. Fixed points for set valued functions without continuity, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 1998, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 227–238.
15. Touail Y., El Moutawakil D. New common fixed point theorems for contractive self mappings and an application to nonlinear differential equations, *Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl.*, 2021, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 903–911. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22075/ijnaa.2021.21318.2245>.
16. Touail Y., El Moutawakil D., Bennani S. Fixed point theorems for contractive self-mappings of a bounded metric space, *J. Funct. Spaces*, vol. 2019, no. 2019, 4175807. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/4175807>.
17. Touail Y., El Moutawakil D. Fixed point results for new type of multivalued mappings in bounded metric spaces with an application, *Ric. Mat.*, 2022, vol. 71, no. 2, pp. 315–323. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11587-020-00498-5>.
18. Touail Y., El Moutawakil D. Some new common fixed point theorems for contractive selfmappings with applications, *Asian-Eur. J. Math.*, 2022, vol. 15, no. 4, 2250080. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1142/S1793557122500802>.
19. Touail Y., El Moutawakil D. Fixed point theorems for new contractions with application in dynamic programming, *Vestnik St. Petersburg Univ. Math.*, 2021, vol. 54, no. 2, pp. 206–212. EDN: ZJHFQW. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1134/s1063454121020126>.
20. Touail Y., El Moutawakil D. Fixed point theorems on orthogonal complete metric spaces with an application, *Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl.*, 2021, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 1801–1809. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22075/ijnaa.2021.23033.2464>.
21. Touail Y., Jaid A., El Moutawakil D. New contribution in fixed point theory via an auxiliary function with an application, *Ric. Mat.*, 2023, vol. 72, no. 1, pp. 181–191. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11587-021-00645-6>.
22. Touail Y., Jaid A., El Moutawakil D. Fixed point results for condensing operators via measure of non-compactness, *Vestnik St. Petersburg Univ. Math.*, 2022, vol. 55, pp. 347–352. EDN: KVHCVR. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1134/S1063454122030153>.
23. Banaś, J., Goebel K. *Measures of Noncompactness in Banach Spaces*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 60. New York, Basel, Marcel Dekker, 1980, vii+97 pp.
24. Sadovskii B. N. A fixed-point principle, *Funct. Anal. Appl.*, 1967, vol. 1, pp. 151–153. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01076087>.
25. Hajji A., Hanebaly E. Commuting mappings and  $\alpha$ -compact type fixed point theorems in locally convex spaces, *Int. J. Math. Anal.*, 2007, vol. 1, no. 14, pp. 661–680.



УДК 517.988.523

## Результат об общей неподвижной точке, полученный через вспомогательную функцию, и его применение

Y. Touail<sup>1</sup>, A. Jaid<sup>2</sup>, D. El Moutawakil<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Университет Сиди Мохамеда бен Абделлы, Фес, Марокко.

<sup>2</sup> Университет Султана Мулая Слимана, Бени-Меллал, Марокко.

<sup>3</sup> Университет Шуайба Дуккали, Эль-Джадида, Марокко.

### Аннотация

Представлена новая теорема об общей неподвижной точке для двух отображений, обладающих свойством коммутативности. Для доказательства теоремы используется мера некомпактности в банаховых пространствах. В заключительной части приводится пример практического применения полученной теоремы.

**Ключевые слова:** неподвижная точка, мера некомпактности,  $\mathcal{T}$ -сжимающее отображение.

Получение: 1 октября 2023 г. / Исправление: 23 октября 2024 г. /

Принятие: 16 декабря 2024 г. / Публикация онлайн: 25 декабря 2024 г.

**Конкурирующие интересы.** От имени всех авторов автор-корреспондент заявляет об отсутствии конфликта интересов.


**Авторский вклад и ответственность.** Все авторы принимали участие в разработке концепции статьи; все авторы сделали эквивалентный вклад в подготовку публикации. Авторы несут полную ответственность за предоставление окончательной рукописи в печать. Окончательная версия рукописи была одобрена всеми авторами.

**Доступность данных.** Никакие данные не использовались в этом исследовании.

### Дифференциальные уравнения и математическая физика Краткое сообщение

© Коллектив авторов, 2024

© СамГТУ, 2024 (составление, дизайн, макет)

 Контент публикуется на условиях лицензии [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/deed.ru) (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/deed.ru>)


### Образец для цитирования

Touail Y., Jaid A., El Moutawakil D. A common fixed-point result via a supplemental function with an application, *Vestn. Samar. Gos. Tekhn. Univ., Ser. Fiz.-Mat. Nauki* [J. Samara State Tech. Univ., Ser. Phys. Math. Sci.], 2024, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 790–798. EDN: **PXHWMS**. DOI: [10.14498/vsgtu2074](https://doi.org/10.14498/vsgtu2074).

### Сведения об авторах

Youssef Touail  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3593-8253>

e-mail: [youssef9touail@gmail.com](mailto:youssef9touail@gmail.com)

Amine Jaid  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7322-2008>

e-mail: [aminejaid1990@gmail.com](mailto:aminejaid1990@gmail.com)

Driss El Moutawakil  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3082-0125>

e-mail: [d.elmoutawakil@gmail.com](mailto:d.elmoutawakil@gmail.com)