Sun Bojun

Tangut-Chinese Elements in the 12th Century Dialect of Hexi

DOI: 10.55512/wmo595718

Abstract: The Hexi dialect of the 12th c. recorded in Tangut literature, such as Fanhan Heshi Zhangzhongzhu, was a Tangut-Chinese language, i.e., an ethnic variant of the ancient Chinese Northwest Dialect. Under the influence of their native languages, non-Chinese people tend to make phonemic alternations, additions and deletions when they speak Chinese. These phonetic variants have nothing to do with diachronic evolution and cannot be brought into the sequence of Chinese phonological development as real forms of dialectal evolution. In researching Ancient (Middle) Chinese on the basis of the Chinese and non-Chinese transcriptions, only by stripping out phonetic variants and by carefully analyzing phonological divergences between Chinese and non-Chinese languages can we restore ancient forms better.

Key words: 12th c. Gansu Corridor dialect, Tangut-Chinese, Northwest dialect in Tang and Five Dynasties, Ethnic variant of Chinese

1. It is well known that research on the northwest Chinese dialect in the Tang and Five Dynasties periods generally relies on several kinds of materials, such as *Qieyun* 切韵, Kan-on, *dhāraṇī* transcriptions of Amoghavajra School, Sino-Annamite transcriptions, Dunhuang Tibetan-Chinese manuscripts,¹ and Chinese transcriptional materials of Sogdian, Khotanese and Uighur discovered in the Western Regions. When scholars use these materials, they feel that phonetic features of the northwest Chinese dialect are not always consistent, even though these materials belong to the same period. For example, Tibetan phonetic notations of Chinese characters from the Rhyme Groups *Dang* 宕, *Geng* 梗, *Zeng* 曾 and *Tong* 通 in *Qianziwen* 千字文 are different from those in *Amitābha-sūtra*, *Vajracchedikā-prajñāpāramitā-sūtra* and *Dacheng Zhongzong Jianjie* 大乘中宗见解.

[©] Sun Bojun (孙伯君), Institute of Ethnology and Anthropology, Chinese Academy of Social Sciences, Beijing, China (sbj100@sina.cn), ORCID 0009-0003-5858-4352

¹ Maspero 2005: 3–15.

Firstly, the loss of nasal final -n caused the merger of the Rhyme Groups Dang and Mo 模 in Oianziwen. However, -n of the Group Dang were kept in some other materials, such as, -an in Amitābha-sūtra and Vajracchedikāprajñāpāramitā-sūtra, and -on in Dacheng Zhongzong Jianjie except when following palatal fricative initials. Secondly, the loss of $-\eta$ also caused the merger of the Groups Geng and $Oi \stackrel{>}{T}$ in Oianziwen. The -n was occasionally kept in the Group Geng, as in Zeng. Moreover, in other three Tibetan dialects, -n was kept and tended to be divided into two types. That is, -en in the Group Geng and -in in Zeng.² The reason for these different performances of -n in the Group Dang represented by Tibetan-Chinese transcriptions in Qianziwen and Dacheng Zhongzong Jianjie was attributed to dialectal differences following the suggestion of Luo Changpei (1933: 40) that the change of $-\eta$ can be correlated with unique pronunciations in different dialects. It is clear that besides the common phonological features, "phonetic ambiguity" still existed in different dialects, even though the data were collected from the same period and the same region.

For the phonetic system of the northwest Chinese dialect of the 12th c., we have transcriptions from Fanhan Heshi Zhangzhongzhu 番汉合时掌中珠 (hereafter Zhangzhongzhu) discovered at Khara-Khoto and Sanskrit-Chinese transcriptions from newly translated Buddhist dhāranīs of the Tangut period. In addition, Chinese-Tibetan transcriptions from Buddhist fragments are also included. Phonological features represented in these materials are different from those in the northwest Chinese dialect in the Tang and Five Dynasties periods. We cannot explain some of these phenomena using the rules of phonetic evolution. For example, characters with the Initial Yi 疑 were transcribed by Tibetan 'g- in Tibetan-Chinese transcriptions from the Dunhuang Oianziwen. Thus, Chinese yin 银 and yan 言 were transcribed by Tibetan 'gen and 'gen etc. But we cannot find examples of characters with the Initials Ying 影, and the third and fourth division of Yu 喻 being transcribed by Tibetan g. 3 However, Tangut materials are different. Chinese-Tangut transcriptions from Zhangzhongzhu have three special characters of the Initial Ying 影, i.e., wen 唱, yi 乙 and e 遏. Another example is that Chinese transcriptional characters for Tangut velar initials not only include yu 鱼, yu 愚, and yu 御 from the Initial Yi 疑母, but also involve yu 于 and yu 雨 from the division III with mouth rounding (*Hekou* 合口) of Initial *Ying* and *Yu*. Gong Hwang-cherng has the following explanation for this phenomenon.

² Luo Changpei 1933: 30–31.

³ Luo 1933: 24–25.

Based on the general observation of the Chinese dialect from *Zhangzhongzhu*, the glottal stop of the Initial *Ying* was lost. The first *Hekou* division of the Initial *Yi* also lost the initials. We can presume the reason for this chaotic phenomenon. The syllables which lost their initials, have a non-phonemic η - or γ - in real-life language environment. These two phonemes were used to transcribe $|\eta|$, $|\gamma|$ or even |g| in Tangut (γ - was used to transcribe $|\eta|$).

Historical linguistics tells us that disappearance of a phoneme can be easily explained by natural evolution. However, the "emergence" of a new phoneme must have some other objective reasons.

Based on ancient scriptures in different scripts from Tang-Song times, it is known that the northwest Chinese dialect presented complex phonological phenomena. As proposed by Takata Tokio, 5 the northwest Chinese dialect in this period has some kinds of "variants". But Takata did not analyze the causes for these variants. It is not difficult to recognize that, besides *Qieyun* and the Sanskrit-Chinese transcriptions from the dhāranī of the Amoghavajra School, other research materials for the northwest dialect in Tang-Song times come from Chinese literary works written by non-Chinese people. When people speak Chinese, under the influence of their native languages, Chinese syllables not found in non-Chinese languages tend to change. In this way, Chinese data recorded using writing systems of these ethnic languages are more or less marked with non-Chinese features. A phonetic system based on these data can only be treated as an ethnic variant of the northwest Chinese dialect. Unlike other Chinese dialects, this variant does not originate from historical evolution, but from synchronic imitation when non-Chinese people were learning this dialect. In this process, certain phonemes, found in both Chinese and ethnic languages, could be pronounced as well as a native speaker did. However, some Chinese phonemes, not found in non-Chinese languages, were usually replaced by phonemes or syllables from non-Chinese languages. A similar example is sound change, such as phonetic addition, deletion and alternation. It always occurs when foreigners start to learn Chinese. Therefore, when we use ethnic language data to research Chinese dialects, we should carefully analyze different phonological characteristics of these non-Chinese languages, focusing on the rules of sound changes in non-Chinese people's oral speaking, and should separate sound changes with native elements. Then we can gain the real phonetic system of a given Chinese dialect.

⁴ GONG Hwang-cherng 2005a: 503.

⁵ TAKATA Tokio 2012.

Obviously, with the merging of different nationalities, Chinese ethnic variants could be preserved in forms of different dialects. These variants' phonetic features are different from other nearby Chinese dialects. For instance, $wen \not \equiv 1$ have the same pronunciation in Zhongwei dialect, Ningxia Autonomous Region. We identify this phenomenon as preservation of Tangut-Chinese of the Xixia period. Treating these sound changes of ethnic language variants as historical evolution of Chinese should be avoided when we analyze phonetic characteristics of relative dialects.

- 2. The Tangut-Chinese glossary Fanhan Heshi Zhangzhongzhu compiled by the Tangut scholar Gule Maocai 骨勒茂才 in 1190 was found in Khara-Khoto ruins in 1909 and is now kept at the Institute of Oriental Manuscripts of the Russian Academy of Sciences. Based on the publication of Zhangzhongzhu, Nicolas NEVSKY (1926), WANG Jingru (1930) and HASHIMOTO Mantaro (1961) reconstructed and analyzed the phonetic system and spelling rules of the northwest Chinese dialect. The materials they used include Chinese-Tangut transcriptions from Zhangzhongzhu and other Tibetan-Tangut transcriptions. After that, GONG Hwang-cherng (2005a, 2005b) and LI Fanwen (1994) systematically researched two types of characters from Zhangzhongzhu, that is, Tangut transcriptions of Chinese and Chinese transcriptions of Tangut, and achieved significant results. In addition, we also found some long paragraphs of Sanskrit-Chinese dhāranī transcriptions in Tangut sūtras. Comparing them with their Sanskrit originals, we found that the phonetic rules of the Gansu Corridor dialect (also known as the Hexi dialect) in these transcriptions are strikingly consistent with those in Zhangzhongzhu. Some of these transcriptional materials are slightly earlier than Zhangzhongzhu, and some are from the same period around the 12th c. With the aid of these two types of materials from the Tangut period, scholars already have a clear understanding of the phonetic features of the Gansu Corridor dialect, which was popular in the Tangut area during this period. Based on the comparison of the northwest Chinese dialect in the Tang and Five Dynasties periods with the north dialect during the Song Dynasty, distinguished features of the Gansu Corridor dialect in the 12th c. can be summarized as follows:⁶
 - 1. Medieval Chinese voiced initials become voiceless aspirated initials.
- 2. Medieval Chinese nasal initials, such as Ming 明, Ni 泥 and Yi 疑, have two different patterns. Syllables with nasal coda -n in the Rhyme Group Zhen

⁶ GONG Hwang-cherng 2005a, 2005b; SUN Bojun 2010, 2012.

臻 and *Shan* 山 have the initials m-, n- and η -, but syllables without a nasal coda have mb-, nd- and ηg - initials.

- 3. Some syllables of the Initial Ying 影, such as e 遏, ye 谒, wa 唱 and yi 乙, have the same initial g- in Sanskrit and Tibetan.
- 4. The nasal coda -ŋ in the Groups Dang 宕, Geng 梗, Zeng 曾 and part of Tong 通 is completely lost. Thus, the Groups Dang 宕 and Guo 果 merged together. Geng 梗, Zhi 止 and Xie 蟹 have merged. Guo 果, Yu 遇摄 and part of Tong 通 have merged.
- 5. Medieval Chinese *tu*, *thu* and *nu* were properly pronounced as *to*, *tho* and *no*.
- 6. Stop codas -p, -t and -k in the entering tone (rushing 入声) are lost and merged with even, raising and departing tones.

The most important phonetic phenomenon is the loss of nasal coda -ŋ in the Rhyme Groups Dang, Geng, Zeng and Tong. To give an example from Zhangzhongzhu, huang 黄, gang 刚 and jiang 姜 of the Group Dang have the same Tangut phonetic transcription as $ge \ni$, $guo \not =$ and $ge \uparrow$ of the Group Guo 果摄; $geng \not =$, $geng \not=$,

Sanskrit	Group Dang 宕	Sanskrit	Group Geng 梗
lo	逻	te	丁
mo/mu	麼	te/ti	矴
bo	磨	bhe/bhi	哈
phu	婆	me	铭
со	左	de/dhe/dhi	宁
ko	光	he	形
rō	哴	ve	永
śo/śu	商		_
tu	当		

⁷ Li Fanwen 1994: 245–246.

⁸ Sun Bojun 2007.

These transcriptions demonstrate that Tangut people did not differentiate between *Dang* and *Guo*, *Geng* and *Xie* in their speech. The nasal coda -ŋ is completely lost in the *Dang* and *Geng* rhyme groups.

As for the Rhyme Group Tong, GONG Hwang-cherng (2005b: 559–561) noticed that nasal coda pronunciations in the Group Tong are different from those in Dang and Geng. Characters of the Group Tong, such as tong 通, tong 同, tong 铜, tong 铜, tong 铜, tong 韬, tong 韬, tong 韬, and tong ঽ and tong ঽ are usually transcribed by means of compounds such as 该 thu^1 前 $m\tilde{e}^2$ and tong tong

In addition, there are three characters of the Group Zeng, i.e. deng 登, beng 崩 and neng 能. In the Tangut period, neng 能 is used to transcribe Sanskrit dor da. For example, 钵雕铭 (二合) is used to transcribe padme in Guanzizai Pusa Liuzi Daming Xinzhou 观自在菩萨六字大明心咒 from Mizhou Yuanyin Wangshengji 密咒圆因往生集 edited by Zhiguang 智广 in the year 1200. 齐雕捺 is used to transcribe chedana in Sheng Guanzizai Dabeixin Zongchi Gongneng Yijinglu 圣观自在大悲心总持功能依经录. These examples clearly indicate that the nasal coda -n in neng 能 was lost. 9

Gong Hwang-cherng¹⁰ compared the change of nasal coda -ŋ in *Qianziwen* within Tangut literary works and summarized the results in the following conclusion.

Based on Chinese-Tangut transcription materials from *Fanhan Heshi Zhangzhongzhu* (1190), we have some conclusions on the codas of the northwest Chinese dialect in the 12th c. Medieval Chinese stop codas *-p, -t, -k* in the entering tone are completely lost. Nasal codas *-m, -n, -ŋ* disappeared after causing the nasalization of the preceding vowels. Nasalized vowels of the Rhyme Groups *Dang, Geng* and *Jiang* 江 lost their nasalized elements and became ordinary vowels.

This sound change in the northwest dialect in the 12th c. occupied an important position in the history of phonological development of the

⁹ Sun Bojun 2010: 48, 32.

¹⁰ GONG Hwang-cherng 2005b: 567.

northwest Chinese dialect. The whole process of this change can be reconstructed as follows. First, nasal coda - η started to change in the Rhyme Groups *Geng* and *Dang* in the mid-Tang Dynasty. Then, the loss of - η spread to other rhyme groups. This phenomenon took place not only in syllables with the velar nasal coda - η , but also in syllables with the bilabial nasal coda -m and the alveolar nasal coda -n. The loss of -m, -n and -p, -t, -k, began in the Five Dynasties period in the late 10th c. After continuous development, this phenomenon reached the stage of completion in the late 12th c. Nasalized vowels that remain in these syllables are traces of these original codas. 11

Obviously, according to Gong's discussion, the mixing of syllables with a nasal coda and those without a nasal coda in various non-Chinese literary texts of different periods can be treated as a result of historical evolution. That is, nasal codas caused the nasalization of the preceding vowels in the Tang and Five Dynasties periods, and then the nasalized elements in the Gansu Corridor dialect were lost in the 12th c. Frankly, "the nasalization of the preceding vowels by nasal codas" can be explained as sound change, but "the loss of nasalized elements" is hard to analyze as sound loss. At least, the reason for the loss of nasalized elements needs further investigation.

3. In modern Chinese, some Initials *Ying*, *Yi*, *Yu* changed to vowel initials. However, Tangut transcriptions for these Chinese initials in *Zhangzhongzhu* usually have velars and glottals. This phenomenon was studied in detail by Gong Hwang-cherng. ¹² The rules from his discussion can be summarized as follows.

```
>*w- 喻 (division III)
微 all
                                                        Hekou >*w-
                            >*w- 影 (division I)
喻 (division IV)
                    Hekou
                                                        Hekou >*w-
疑 (division I)
                    Hekou
喻 (division III)
                    Kaikou
                           > *i-
                                   喻 (division IV)
                                                        Kaikou >*j-
影 (division III, IV)
                    Kaikou > *i
                           > *jw- 影 (division III)
疑 (division III)
                    Hekou
                                                        Hekou >*jw-
                            > *jw- 喻 (division IV) part Hekou >*jw-
喻 (division III) part Hekou
影 (division I)
                    Kaikou > vowel initial
```

¹¹ GONG Hwang-cherng 2005b: 567.

¹² GONG Hwang-cherng 2005a: 512–517.

Though there is no discussion about the Initial $Ying \gg (division I)$, the situation is similar. The glottal stop disappeared, replaced by non-phonemic initial y-.

Moreover, examining Sanskrit-Chinese transcriptions in Tangut *dhāraṇī* and names of Tibetan-Tangut Buddhist translators, we noticed that some syllables with the Initial *Ying*, *Yi* and *Yu* have special transcriptions.

3.1. The syllables with Initial Y_i are transcribed by g-/k- in Sanskrit or Tibetan. The following are Sanskrit examples:¹³

宜	养宜说啰	yogeśvara	g-
떔	<u>唔</u> 噜	guru	g-
屹	捺麻厮 <u>屹</u> 唥(三合)胆	namaskṛtvā	k-
蛾	啰捺(入)蛾能	ratnaguṇa	g-

- 3.2. The *kaikou* syllables of division I and III of the Initial *Ying* are transcribed by *g* in Sanskrit or Tibetan.
- 3.2.1. E 遏 usually corresponds to Sanskrit ga in Tangut $dh\bar{a}ran\bar{n}$, i.e. Sanskrit bhagavate is transcribed by moewodi 末遏斡帝, where e 遏 is used for ga. ¹⁴ In addition, a Tangut translator's Sanskrit name $\bar{a}nandak\bar{n}rti$ is transcribed by eanannachilidi 遏啊难捺吃哩底 in Chinese, where e 遏 seems to transcribe Sanskrit a. However, the name was translated to Tangut 释威微纖纖纖 in $\bar{A}rya$ Prajnaparamita Ratnaguna Samcayagatha. ¹⁵ famoura E is a velar initial syllable transcribed by Chinese famoura E in famoura E is famoura E in famoura E is famoura E in famoura E is famoura E in famoura E
- 3.2.2. Nayizhong 捺乙钟, Tibetan transcription is Nag chung. Yi $\mathbb Z$ is used to transcribe -g. 17
 - 3.2.3. The Initial Yu (division III) are transcribed by g- in Tibetan.

¹³ Sun Bojun 2010: 97; Duan Yuquan 2012: 27.

¹⁴ SUN Bojun 2010: 38.

¹⁵ DUAN Yuguan 2012: 29.

¹⁶ GONG Hwang-cherng 2005: 394.

¹⁷ Nag chung (?-1117), also named Dam pa sangs rgyas in Tibetan Buddhist history, and Kamalaśīla in Sanskrit. Nag chung means "small black" in Tibetan. This name was transcribed by *nayizhong* 捺乙钟 in Chinese *Sizi Kongxingmu Jiwen* 四字空行母记文 (TK.329) that was unearthed in Khara-Khoto.

For example, wuyan 乌延, another Chinese transcription is $wuerjian_{(erhe)}$ 乌 儿坚 $_{(=\pm)}$. Both are transcribed by Tibetan U-rgyan. Yan 延 is transcribed by gyan. Examples of Sanskrit-Chinese, Tibetan-Chinese transcriptions are given below.

Chinese transcription	Sanskrit	Tibetan	Examples
宜(疑母,支开三平止)	ge		yogeśvara "养宜说啰"
唔 (疑母,暮合一去遇)	gu		guru "陪噜"
屹(疑母,迄开三入臻)	k-		namaskṛtvā "捺麻厮屹呤 (三合)胆"
屹(疑母,迄开三入臻)		g-	bsod nams grags "萨南屹啰"
蛾(疑母,歌开一平果)	gu		Ratnaguṇa "囉捺(入)蛾能"
遏(影母,曷开一入山)	ga		bhagavate "末遏斡帝"
乙 (影母,质开三入臻)		-g	Nag chung "捺乙钟"
延(馀母,仙开三平山)		gyan	U-rgyan "乌延"

It can also be seen in Sanskrit-Chinese transcriptions that not all of the Initial Ying and Yu were transcribed by g-, some other syllables were still transcribed by vowel initials.¹⁹

Chinese transcription	Sanskrit	Examples
乌 (影模合一平遇)	-u	Uṣṇīṣa "乌实祢舍"
英 (影庚开三平梗)	ye	vairocaniye "命哴拶祢英"
衍 (馀獮开三上山)	yan	samāśvāsayantu"萨麻引说引萨衍丁
		六"
瑜(馀虞合三平遇)	yu	ayur "啊瑜哩(二合)"
楹(馀清开三平梗)	e	ehyehi "楹形兮"
养(馀养开三上宕)	yo	yogeśvara "养鸡说啰"
永 (云梗合三上梗)	ve	sambhave "三末永"

¹⁸ CHEN Qingying, 2000.

¹⁹ Sun Bojun 2010: appendix 1 and 2.

The transcription -g in the Gansu Corridor dialect is the continuous development of the northwest Chinese dialect in the Tang and Five Dynasties periods. Tibetan-Chinese transcriptions in Dunhuang *Qianziwen* show that most of the characters with the Initial Yi are transcribed by Tibetan g-. For example, Chinese yin 银 and yan 言 are transcribed by Tibetan gin and gen. The "additional" initial g- of some syllables with the Initial Ying and Yu may be attributed to the oral sound changes of Tangut people when they speak Chinese.

4. Some *Qieshen* 切身 (self-spelling) characters are used to transcribe tu, du and nu in Sanskrit-Chinese transcriptions from newly translated $dh\bar{a}ran\bar{\iota}$ in the Tangut period. ²⁰ Here are several examples of *Qieshen* characters.

Sanskrit	Chinese transcriptions	Examples
tu	丁六	bhavatu "末斡丁六"
	寧各(切身)	śituru "西寧各(切身)噜"
	丁各(切身)	hetu "形丁各(切身)"
	丁各(舌齿)	hetu "形(引)丁各(舌齿)"
du	寧各(切身)	duni "寕各(切身)你"
	丁六(舌上)	duṣṭanaṃ "丁六(舌上)室达捺(能)"
	丁六	durlanghite"丁六(呤)辣(上腭)屹矴"
nu	寧乌(切身)	manu "麻寧乌(切身)"
	寧与(切身)	anurakto "啊寧与(切身)啰屹(二合)多"

Sanskrit tu and du are transcribed by Qieshen characters 丁六, 寧各 and 丁各, nu is transcribed by 寧乌 and 寧与. The examples show that these Sanskrit syllables did not exist in the Chinese Gansu Corridor dialect during the 12th c.

However, examination of Sanskrit-Chinese Buddhist transcriptions from Tang-Song dynasty China shows that medieval Chinese syllables *tu*, *thu* and *du*, such as *du* 睹, are used to transcribe Sanskrit *tu*. For example, Sanskrit *bhavatu* is transcribed by *Fatian* 法天 (Song Dynasty) into Chinese 婆嚩睹 in

 $^{^{20}}$ In transcriptions of $dh\bar{a}ran\bar{n}$, translators usually use two Chinese characters together to transcribe one Sanskrit syllable when they cannot find the accurate Chinese character. In this case, one character is used for the initial and the other for the final. These pairs of characters are coined and their pronunciations come from the *fanqie* spelling, which is a Chinese traditional phonetic annotation method. This is the reason why these characters are called *Qieshen* 切身 characters.

Sarvadurgatipariśodhana Uṣṇīṣavijayadhāraṇī Sūtra (Taishō Tripiṭaka 1934: 408).²¹ This example demonstrates that *tu*, *thu* and *du* still existed in real northwest Chinese dialect during the Tang-Song Dynasty.

5. These phonological characteristics of the Gansu Corridor dialect in the 12th c. can be retrieved from Sanskrit-Chinese, Tangut-Chinese and Tibetan-Chinese transcriptional materials. This is especially true for the three phenomena mentioned above, that is, the loss of nasal finals in the Rhyme Groups *Dang*, *Geng*, *Zeng* and *Tong*, the addition of initial *g*- before vowel initials, and the absence of the syllables *tu*, *thu* and *nu*. If we compare these phonological characteristics with those in the Tang and Five dynasties period, we cannot explain these changes by historical evolution rules unless we classify them under the category of Tangut-Chinese. Tangut-Chinese is the northwest Chinese dialect spoken by Tangut people in the 12th c. This dialect was spoken by a specific ethnic group, the Tangut people, whose native language was not Chinese. It is different from the dialect spoken by authentic Chinese, therefore, it should be called an ethnic variant of the northwest Chinese dialect.

According to the commonly accepted view, it is hard to have accurate pronunciation when people learn or pronounce phonemes or syllables not found in their native language. There are three types of common sound changes, that is, phonemic alternation, addition and deletion.

Phonemic alternation is the replacement of one phoneme or syllable of a source language by another phoneme or syllable of one's native language. For example, initial f and z, did not exist in Middle Mongol. The Chinese word

²¹ Sanskrit-Chinese transcription of *Uṣṇīṣavijayadhāraṇī* inherited the transcriptional principle of Amoghavajra and other translators that represented the Chang'an dialect in the Tang dynasty. Based on the *Fozu tongji* 佛祖统纪 Vol. 43, "河中府沙门法进,请三藏法天译经于蒲津,(蒲州河中府)守臣表进,上览之大说,召入京师始兴译事。" *Pujin* 蒲津 (now around Xi'an area).

furen 夫人 is pronounced as wošin and written as wuzhen 兀真 and xuzhen 旭真 in the Yuan Dynasty. Manchurian word is inherited from Mongolian, and the Chinese-Manchurian transcription of this word is fujin 福晋. Fricative s- is the only front alveolar in Mongolian. Front alveolar initial characters were transcribed by fricative s- in Zhangyingrui Xianying Bei 张应瑞先茔碑, Zhuwentai Shendao Bei 竹温台神道碑 and Xindu Shendao Bei 忻都神道碑 etc. Several examples of these transcriptions are given below. 23

 藏: sink
 匠: sank
 赠: sink
 紫, 资, 集: si

 左: soo
 总: sonk
 参: sam
 钱: san

 齐: si
 秦: sin
 全: soin
 青, 清: sink

Jurchen is similar to Mongolian in this respect. Front alveolar initials are usually transcribed by s- in Nüzhen Yiyu 女真译语. To give an example from the chapter Renwumen 人物门, the transcription of Chinese zongbing 总兵 is suwenbiyin 素温必因. Front alveolar initial character zi 子(瓦子) and alveolar initial character zao 皂(从母) are both transcribed by Jurchen 本, as is the character si 司(都司). There is no velar nasal coda -ŋ in Old Jurchen spelling. ¬ŋ is replaced by ¬n in transcriptions. For instance, Chinese tang 堂 is pronounced as taan 塔安, ting 厅 as tiyin 替因, shilang 侍郎 as shilaan 侍刺安, dutong 都统 as dutaan 都塔安 and zongbing 总兵 as suwenbiyin 素温必因 (Luo Fucheng 1933: 7, 10). One above-mentioned example showed that tu, thu and nu are pronounced as to and no in the Gansu Corridor dialect in the 12th c. Since there are no tu and nu in the Tangut sound system, this phenomenon can also be attributed to phonemic alternation.

Phonemic addition is a change that involves insertion of a consonant or vowel into a word of the source language. Some phonemes are rarely placed at the beginning of a word in native language, or one's native language lacks vowel initials. Therefore, a vowel is inserted at the beginning of a word which

²² Wulan 2003.

²³ YILINZHEN 2001.

²⁴ JIN Qizong 1984: 166.

²⁵ According to a record in the Wu River Collection (*Wuxi ji* 武溪集), the chapter on the manners of the Khitan officials (*Qidan guanyi* 契丹官仪): "其东北则有挞领相公,掌黑水等边事。" Annotations are as follows. "胡人呼'挞'字如'吞'字,入声,'领'音近'廪'。" Another example is from the History of Liao (*Liao shi* 辽史), the section of *Guoyujie* (国语解) "explanation of the national language". The word *taling* 挞领 is written in the form *talin* 挞林 there. The annotation explains it as an official's name: "挞林,官名。后二室韦部改为仆射,又名司空。" Thus, *ling* 领, *lin* 林 and *lin* 廪 have the same spelling in Khitan.

starts with a consonant, or a consonant is inserted in front of vowel initial syllables when people are recording some source language. For example, Altaic languages insert a vowel at the beginning of a word which starts with alveolar trill r-. It happens unconsciously when people spell these words, because r- is rarely used word-initially. Chinese Eluosi 俄罗斯 ("Russia; Russian") comes from Middle Mongol Orus via Manchu Oros, ²⁶ and the phoneme e 俄 is an example of sound addition. The "additional" consonant g-before vowel initial syllables in the Gansu Corridor dialect in the 12th c. is also a case of phonemic addition.

Phonemic deletion is a change that involves omission of a phoneme or syllable not found in one's native language when using some source language. Phonemic deletion can be found in some Tibeto-Burman languages. There are no nasal finals in modern Yi and Naxi languages. It is hard for these people to have correct pronunciations of nasal final syllables. When they learn Chinese, an, en and in are usually pronounced as ai, ei and i, or as a, e and i, and ang, eng, ing and ong as a, e, i, o(u). For example, tan 读 and tai 台, chang 长 and cha 查, ping 平 and pi 啤, ou 欧 and ong 翁, kong 孔 and ku 苦, have the same pronunciations because of the loss of nasal finals. The reason for this phenomenon is the absence of nasal finals in their native languages.

Sometimes, phonemic alternation occurs at the same time with phonemic deletion. For example, there was no nasal coda -ŋ in Old Japanese, thus nasal finals were usually pronounced as diphthong finals. The final -u is used to replace -ŋ after its deletion. Syllables of the Rhyme Group Geng are exceptions, because nasal finals change to the diphthong ei. E.g. (LIU Fuhua 1982):

Another similar case can be found in Sogdian literature. Nasal finals changed to diphthong finals after the loss of coda $-\eta$ in Sogdian. For example, geng 庚, ding 丁, and bing 丙 are pronounced as $k\hat{e}y$, $t\hat{i}y$ and $p\hat{i}y$. However, $-\eta$ in Uighur is a different case, because sometimes it was lost and sometimes it survived. This phenomenon can be illustrated by the following transcriptions from $Xuanzang\ Zhuan\$ 玄奘传 and other literature. 27

²⁶ Cf. Corff 2018: 69–70.

²⁷ Nie Hongyin 1998; Maspéro 1920.

Chinese	Rhyme group	Uighur	nasal coda
tang 汤/唐	宕摄	to	-Ø
zang 藏/奘	宕摄	tso	-Ø
guang 光	宕摄	qo	-Ø
ming 明	梗摄	mi	-Ø
jing 敬	梗摄	ki	-Ø
ding 丁	梗摄	ti	-Ø
jing 经	梗摄	ki	-Ø
sheng 升	曾摄	sing	-ŋ
seng 僧	曾摄	song	-ŋ
cheng 乘	曾摄	sing	-ŋ
tong 统	通摄	tung	-ŋ
cang 仓	宕摄	tsang	-ŋ
•			

The reason for this case is that -y existed in Uighur, but was not as commonly used as in Chinese. The change of nasal coda -y in the Gansu Corridor dialect in the 12th c. is similar to the Japanese example. Finals of the Rhyme Groups *Geng* and *Xie* have the same spelling *ei*, because of the loss of -y. *Dang* and *Guo* group finals have the same spelling, because -y is replaced by the vowel -u.

It should be pointed out that, firstly, phonemic alternation is not random. NIE (1992) divided common consonant alternations into three levels:

The standard of the first level is the place of articulation. This is the most strict level. The phonemes from different places of articulation hardly ever replaced each other and the ancients did it only as a last resort. The standard of the second level is the tongue shape. Phonemes of different tongue shapes replaced each other with the condition of having the same place of articulation. The standard of the third level is voicing contrast and aspiration. This level is not strict. As we mentioned above, voicing contrast and aspiration are not paratactic factors in certain languages. We have to take into consideration the phonological system and then decide which one is more important.²⁸

²⁸ Nie 1992: 75.

Secondly, there are two methods to judge whether a type of sound change is historical evolution of a dialect or pronunciation change of an ethnic variant. One is to compare the differences in phonetic system between Chinese and the ethnic language, another is to analyze whether the sound change fits the rules of evolution.

Finally, when we are analyzing phonological evolution, Chinese language spoken by non-Chinese people cannot be treated as authentic Chinese and cannot be added into the sequence of Chinese phonological evolution without analysis.

The dialect of Gansu Corridor reflected in Tangut materials was called the *northwest Chinese dialect in the Song dynasty*²⁹ or the *northwest Chinese dialect in the 12th c.*³⁰ When scholars analyze this dialect, usually, some of its phonetic features are added into the Tang and Five Dynasties evolution sequence. The fact that this dialect belongs to the ethnic variant of the northwest Chinese dialect and some of its features are Tangut-Chinese was ignored. In fact, when using Tangut-Chinese transcriptional materials to research Medieval Chinese dialects, we should clarify the forms of sound change in Chinese ethnic variants which are not related to historical evolution, such as phonemic alternation, addition and deletion. Only in this way phonetic features of Middle Chinese can be accurately reconstructed.

References

CHEN Qingying 陈庆英 2000: "Xixia ji Yuandai Zangehuan Fojiao jingdian de hanyiben" 西夏及元代藏传佛教经典的汉译本 [Chinese version of Tangut Tantric texts in the Yuan era]. *Xizangdaxue xuebao* 西藏大学学报 2: 1–9.

CORFF, Oliver 2018: "A Boundless Homeland: Terminology of Territorial Administration, Areas and Peoples in the Qing Empire". In: Statehood in the Altaic World. Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Permanent International Altaistic Conference (PIAC), Ardahan, Turkey, June 26—July 1, 2016. Norderstedt: BoD — Books on Demand: 63–74.

DUAN Yuquan 段玉泉 2012: "Sheng Shenghui Daobi'an Gongde Baojiji" de Xia Han Zangwenben kuayuyan duikan yanjiu 《圣胜慧到彼岸功德宝集偈》的夏汉藏文本跨语言对勘研究 [Comparison of multilingual versions consisting of Tangut, Tibetan and Chinese texts of "Ārya Prajñāpāramitā Ratnaguṇa Saṃcayagāthā"]. Post-doctoral Report of Chinese Academy of Social Sciences.

GONG Hwang-cherng 龚煌城 2005: Xixia yuyan wenzi yanjiu lunji: Zhuhe Gong Huangcheng jiaoshou 70 huadan jinian wenji 西夏语言文字研究论集: 祝贺龚煌城教授七十华诞纪念文集 [A Collection of Essays on the Study of the Xixia Language and Script: A Celebration

²⁹ Li Fanwen 1994.

³⁰ GONG Hwang-cherng 2005a; 2005b.

- of Professor Gong Hwang-cherng's 70th Birthday]. Beijing 北京: Minzu chubanshe 民族出版社.
- GONG Hwang-cherng 龚煌城 2005a: "Shier shijimo Hanyu de Xibei fangyin (shengmu bufen)" 十二世纪末汉语的西北方音(声母部分) [Chinese Northwest Dialect in the Late 12th Century (the Part of Initial Consonants)]. In: *Xixia yuyan wenzi yanjiu lunji: Zhuhe Gong Huangcheng jiaoshou 70 huadan jinian wenji* 西夏语言文字研究论集: 祝贺龚煌城教授七十华诞纪念文集 [A Collection of Essays on the Study of the Xixia Language and Script: A Celebration of Professor Gong Hwang-cherng's 70th Birthday]. Beijing 北京: Minzu chubanshe 民族出版社: 481–519.
- GONG Hwang-cherng 龚煌城 2005b: "Shier shijimo Hanyu de Xibei fangyin (yunwei wenti)" 十二世纪末汉语的西北方音(韵尾问题) [Chinese Northwest Dialect in the Late 12th Century (the Problem of Finals)]. In: Xixia yuyan wenzi yanjiu lunji: Zhuhe Gong Huangcheng jiaoshou 70 huadan jinian wenji 西夏语言文字研究论集: 视贺龚煌城教授七十华诞纪念文集 [A Collection of Essays on the Study of the Xixia Language and Script: A Celebration of Professor Gong Hwang-cherng's 70th Birthday]. Beijing 北京: Minzu chubanshe 民族出版社: 521–567.
- HASHIMOTO Mantaro 橋本萬太郎 1961: "Shōchūju no Tangūto-Kan taion kenkyū no hōhō" 掌中珠のタングート・漢対音研究の方法 [Methods of Research on Tangut-Chinese Transcriptions from "Zhangzhongzhu"]. *Chūgokugo-gaku* 中国語学 109: 113–116.
- JIN Qizong 金啓孮 1984: *Niizhenwen cidian* 女真文辞典 [Dictionary of Jurchen language]. Beijing 北京: Wenwu chubanshe 文物出版社.
- LI Fanwen 李范文 1994: Songdai xibei fangyin: "Fan han heshi zhang zhong zhu" dui yin yanjiu 宋代西北方音:《番汉合时掌中珠》对音研究 [Chinese Northwest Dialect in the Song Dynasty]. Beijing 北京: Zhongguo shehui kexue chubanshe 中国社会科学出版社.
- LIU Fuhua 刘富华 1982: "Cong biyin n, ng yu boyin ん de guanxi kan Hanyu dui Riyu de yingxiang" 从鼻音 n、ng 与拨音ん的关系看汉语对日语的影响 [Chinese Influence on Japanese as seen from the Relationship Between Nasal n, ng and ん]. *Dongbeishida xuebao: Zhexue shehui kexue ban* 东北师大学报: 哲学社会科学版 [Journal of Northeast Normal University] 2: 30–37.
- Luo Changpei 羅常培 1933: *Tang Wudai Xibei fangyin* 唐五代西北方音 [The Northwestern Dialects of Tang and Five Dynasties]. Shanghai 上海: Guoli Zhongyang yanjiuyuan Lishi yuyan yanjiusuo 國立中央研究院歷史語言研究所. (Guoli Zhongyang yanjiuyuan Lishi yuyan yanjiusuo dankan: jia zhong zhi shi-er 國立中央研究院歷史語言研究所單刊: 甲種之十二).
- Luo Fucheng 羅福成 1933: *Nüzhen yiyu* 女真譯語 [Sino-Jurchen Glossary]. [Lüshun 旅順]: Da ku jiu dang zhengli chu yin 大庫舊檔整理處印.
- MASPÉRO, Henri 1920: "Le dialecte de Tch'ang-ngan sous les T'ang". Bulletin de l'Ecole française d'Extrême-Orient XX(2): 1–119.
- NEVSKY, Nicolas 1926: A Brief Manual of the Si-hia Characters with Tibetan Transcriptions. Research Review of the Osaka Asiatic Society. No. 4. Osaka.
- NIE Hongyin 聂鸿音 1992: "Fanhan Duiyin Jianlun" 番汉对音简论 [Brief Comment on Tangut-Chinese Transcription]. *Guyuan shizhuan xuebao* 固原师专学报 [Journal of Guyuan Teachers' College] 2: 70–75.
- NIE Hongyin 聂鸿音 1998: "Huihuwen "Xuanzangzhuan" zhong de Hanzi guyin" 回鹘文《玄 奘传》中的汉字古音 [Ancient Pronunciations of Chinese Characters from Uighur "Xuanzangzhuan"]. *Minzu yuwen* 民族语文 [Minority Languages of China] 6: 62–70.

- Taishō Tripiṭaka 1934: Taishō shinshū Daizōkyō 大正新脩大藏經 = The Tripitaka in Chinese. Revised, Collated, Added, Rearranged and Edited. Vol. 19. Tokyo: The Taisho Issai-kyo Kanko Kwai (Society for the Publication of the Taisho Edition of the Tripitaka).
- ТАКАТА Tokio 高田時雄 2012: "Phonological Variation among Ancient North-Western Dialects in Chinese" = 古代西北方言的下位變體. In: Dunhuang Studies: Prospects and Problems for the Coming Second Century of Research = 敦煌學: 第二個百年的研究視角與問題 = Дуньхуановедение: перспективы и проблемы второго столетия исследований. St. Petersburg: Slavia Publishers: 249–250.
- SUN Bojun 孙伯君 2007: "Xixia Yijing de Fanhanduiyin yu Hanyu Xibei Fangyin" 西夏译经的梵汉对音与汉语西北方音 [Sanskrit-Chinese Transcriptions from Buddhist Sūtras of the Tangut Period and the Chinese Northwest Dialect]. *Yuyan yanjiu* 语言研究 [Language Studies] 1: 12–19.
- SUN Bojun 孙伯君 2010: *Xixia xinyi Fojing tuoluoni de duiyin yanjiu* 西夏新译佛经陀罗尼的对音研究 [Research on Transcriptions from New Translated Buddhist Dhāraṇī of the Tangut period]. Beijing 北京: Zhongguo shehui kexue chubanshe 中国社会科学出版社.
- SUN Bojun 孙伯君 2012: "Shi-er shiji Hexi fangyin de tongshe yangshengyun" 12 世纪河西方音的通摄阳声韵 [On the Rime *-uŋ in the Hexi Dialect of the 12th c.]. *Zhongguo yuwen* 中国语文 [Studies of the Chinese Language] 2: 76–82.
- WANG Jingru 王靜如 1930. "Xixiawen Han Zang yiyin shilüe" 西夏文漢藏譯音釋略 [Research on Tangut-Chinese and Tangut-Tibetan Transcriptions]. *Guoli Zhongyang yanjiuyuan Lishi yuyan yanjiusuo jikan* 國立中央研究院歷史語言研究所集刊 = Academia Sinica. Bulletin of The National Research Institute of History and Philology II(2): 171–184.
- WULAN 乌兰 2003. "Yuanchao mishi "wuzhen" kaoshi" 元朝秘史"兀真"考释 [Textual Research on "wuzhen" from The Secret History of the Mongols]. In: *Menggushi yanjiu. Di-qi ji: Wei Mixian jiaoshou liushiwu shouchen jinian zhuanji* 蒙古史研究. 第七辑: 魏弥贤教授六十五寿辰纪念专集 = *Mongyol teüke sudulul* = *Studia Historica Mongolica*. Tomus 7: Special Issue in Honour of Professor Doctor Michael Weiers. Hohhot 呼和浩特: Neimenggu daxue chubanshe 内蒙古大学出版社: 198–201.
- YILINZHEN 亦邻真 2001: "Yuanchao mishi jiqi fuyuan" 元朝秘史及其复原 [The Secret History of the Mongols and its Restoration]. In: Yilinzhen Mengguxue wenji 亦邻真蒙古学文集 = I. Irinčin-u Mongyol sudulul-un bütügel-ün čiyulyan = Collected writings on Mongolian studies by Y. Irinchin. Hohhot 呼和浩特: Neimenggu renmin chubanshe 内蒙古人民出版社 = Öbör Mongyol-un arad-un keblel-ün qoriy-a: 713–746.