30

https://doi.org/10.17816/ecogen568609

CRISPR/Cas based genome editing in microalgae

Pavel A. Virolainen, Elena M. Chekunova

Check for updates

Saint Petersburg State University, Saint Petersburg, Russia

CRISPR/Cas systems are presently the most attractive genome editing technology, that is widely used for genetic engineering of various crops and industrial microorganisms. Currently, application of the CRISPR/Cas based genome editing promises advances in microalgae biotechnology aimed at boosting the output of biofuels and valuable bioactive compounds. However, algae remain relatively complex objects for genetic manipulation [1]. The main problems are associated with the need of a species-oriented approach when creating a transformation toolbox due to the peculiarities in the structure of membranes and the cell wall of a particular taxon. The proper selection and design of a CRISPR construct is also required due to the possible presence of a powerful silencing system against introduced genetic constructs in the cell. These difficulties explain the low efficiency of microalgae transformation and the meager list of successfully edited species [1, 2].

The first instance of genome editing in microalgae using CRISPR/Cas was reported in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* P.A. Dang [3]. To date, four transformation methods (*Agrobacterium*-mediated, particle bombardment, glass beads agitation, electroporation) have been successfully used for editing (knock-in and knock-out) the *C. reinhardtii* genome with two types of CRISPR constructs (plasmid and ribonucleoprotein). The developed protocols make it possible to achieve high efficiency of genomic editing — for example, in our study it varied from 10.6% to 68.8% [4]. These benefits along with completely sequenced genome, well-studied genetics, accessibility and haplontic life cycle makes *C. reinhardtii* an outstanding model organism for CRISPR/Cas application in microalgae research [5].

Keywords: CRISPR/Cas; genome editing; transformation toolbox; CRISPR construct delivery; microalgae; GMOs.

REFERENCES

Jeon S, Lim J-M, Lee H-G, et al. Current status and perspectives of genome editing technology for microalgae. *Biotechnology for Biofuels.* 2017;10:267. DOI: 10.1186/s13068-017-0957-z
Patel VK, Das A, Kumari R, Kajla S. Recent progress and challenges in CRISPR-Cas9 engineered algae and cyanobacteria. *Algal Research.* 2023;71. DOI: 10.1016/j.algal.2023.103068

3. Jiang W, Brueggeman AJ, Horken KM. Successful transient expression of Cas9 and single guide RNA genes in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. Eukaryotic Cell.* 2014;13(11): 1465–1469. DOI: 10.1128/ec.00213-14

31

4. Virolainen PA, Chekunova EM. Optimization of CRISPR/Cas9 method for transgenesis of model microalgae *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* [abstract]. *Ecological genetics*. 2022;20(Suppl. 1): S42–43. DOI: 10.17816/ecogen112332

5. Naduthodi MIS, Barbosa MJ, van der Oost J. Progress of CRISPR-Cas based genome editing in photosynthetic microbes. *Biotechnology Journal*. 2018;13(9). DOI: 10.1002/biot.201700591

AUTHORS' INFO

Pavel A. Virolainen, PhD Student; Saint Petersburg State University, Saint Petersburg, Russia. ORCID: 0000-0001-5918-9395; eLibrary SPIN: 6564-9350; e-mail: s.pasha98@yandex.ru

Elena M. Chekunova, Dr. Sci. (Biol.), Senior Teacher; Saint Petersburg State University, Saint Petersburg, Russia; ORCID: 0000-0001-8942-4771; eLibrary SPIN: 2788-6386; e-mail: elena_chekunova@mail.ru