

УДК 616.12-008.6-036.12-053.9

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.17816/PAVLOVJ375266>

Сосудистая жесткость у пациентов старческого возраста с хронической сердечной недостаточностью

Д. С. Грачев[✉], В. С. Петров, К. И. Намазова, Т. А. Максякова, В. И. Токарева

Рязанский государственный медицинский университет имени академика И. П. Павлова, Рязань, Российская Федерация

АННОТАЦИЯ

Введение. Изучение сосудистой жесткости является методом оценки риска сердечно-сосудистых заболеваний. Одним из методов оценки артериальной жесткости является сердечно-лодыжечный сосудистый индекс (англ.: *cardio-ankle vascular index*, CAI), отражающий степень структурных поражений сосудов. Данный индекс перспективен для изучения сосудистой жесткости у лиц старческого возраста с хронической сердечной недостаточностью (ХСН) с целью выявления новых предикторов сердечно-сосудистого риска.

Цель. Изучение индексов сосудистой жесткости (сердечно-лодыжечного и лодыжечно-плечевого) у пациентов старческого возраста (75–89 лет) с ХСН методом объемной сфигмографии.

Материалы и методы. В исследование включено 120 пациентов (87 женщин и 33 мужчины) с ХСН старческого возраста (средний возраст $81,3 \pm 4,2$ лет). Всем пациентам проводился анализ показателей эхокардиографии и сфигмографии с оценкой индекса CAI и лодыжечно-плечевого индекса.

Результаты. У пациентов с ХСН IV функционального класса (ФК) наблюдался наибольший индекс CAI справа, который превышал показатели групп ХСН II ФК и III ФК на 0,57 и 1,02 единиц соответственно ($p < 0,05$). Индекс CAI слева был выше у пациентов с ХСН IV ФК по сравнению с группами ХСН II ФК и III ФК (на 0,47 и 0,6 единиц соответственно, $p < 0,05$). Также были выявлены корреляционные взаимосвязи сосудистой жесткости с возрастом пациента, ФК ХСН и с эхокардиографическими показателями: фракцией выброса левого желудочка, конечным диастолическим размером левого желудочка, конечным систолическим размером левого желудочка ($p < 0,05$).

Заключение. Сердечно-лодыжечный сосудистый индекс может использоваться для оценки сосудистой жесткости у пациентов старческого возраста с ХСН и может помочь определить риск сердечно-сосудистых заболеваний. Необходимы дальнейшие исследования на большей выборке пациентов.

Ключевые слова: сердечно-лодыжечный сосудистый индекс; хроническая сердечная недостаточность; лодыжечно-плечевой индекс

Для цитирования:

Грачев Д.С., Петров В.С., Намазова К.И., Максякова Т.А., Токарева В.И. Сосудистая жесткость у пациентов старческого возраста с хронической сердечной недостаточностью // Российский медико-биологический вестник имени академика И. П. Павлова. 2024. Т. 32, № 1. С. 65–72. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.17816/PAVLOVJ375266>

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.17816/PAVLOVJ375266>

Vascular Stiffness in Senile Patients with Chronic Heart Failure

Dmitriy S. Grachev✉, Vadim S. Petrov, Kamila I. Namazova, Tat'yana A. Maksyakova,
Viktoriya I. Tokareva

Ryazan State Medical University, Ryazan, Russian Federation

ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION: The study of vascular stiffness is a method of assessing the risk of cardiovascular diseases. One of methods of assessing arterial stiffness is the cardio-ankle vascular index (CAVI), which reflects the extent of structural lesion of vessels. This index has prospects in application for studying vascular stiffness in senile individuals with chronic heart failure (CHF) to identify new predictors of the cardiovascular risk.

AIM: To study the parameters of vascular stiffness (cardio-vascular and ankle-brachial indices) in senile patients (75–89 years) with CHF using the volume sphygmography method.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: The study included 120 patients (87 women and 33 men) with CHF of senile age (mean age 81.3 ± 4.2 years). In all patients, the electrocardiography and sphygmography data were analyzed with assessment of the CAVI and ankle-brachial index.

RESULTS: In patients with IV functional class (FC) CHF, the highest CAVI was found on the right exceeding the parameters of II FC CHF and III FC CHF groups by 0.57 and 1.02 units, respectively ($p < 0.05$). The CAVI on the left in patients with IV FC CHF was higher in comparison with II FC CHF and III FC CHF groups by 0.47 and 0.6 units, respectively. There were also identified correlation relationships of vascular stiffness with the age of patients, functional class of chronic heart failure and echocardiographic parameters: left ventricle ejection fraction, left ventricle end-diastolic diameter, left-ventricle end-systolic diameter ($p < 0.05$).

CONCLUSION: The cardio-ankle vascular index can be used to assess vascular stiffness in senile patients with CHF and can help determine the risk of cardiovascular diseases. Further studies are needed on a larger sample of patients.

Keywords: *cardio-ankle vascular index; chronic heart failure; ankle-brachial index*

For citation:

Grachev DS, Petrov VS, Namazova KI, Maksyakova TA, Tokareva VI. Vascular Stiffness in Senile Patients with Chronic Heart Failure. *I. P. Pavlov Russian Medical Biological Herald*. 2024;32(1):65–72. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.17816/PAVLOVJ375266>

Received: 02.05.2023

Accepted: 14.09.2023

Published: 31.03.2024

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ABI — ankle-brachial index
 AP — arterial pressure
 CAVI — cardio-ankle vascular index
 CHF — chronic heart failure
 CVD — cardiovascular disease
 EDD — end diastolic diameter

EF — ejection fraction
 ESD — end systolic diameter
 FC — functional class
 L — on the left
 LV — left ventricle
 R — on the right

INTRODUCTION

Age is an established risk factor for development of cardiovascular diseases (CVD), however, vascular changes considerably vary in individuals of the same age group, and the *vascular health* parameters are increasingly recognized to have a better prognostics value for adverse cardiovascular outcomes than the *passport age* [1–3].

Ageing is associated with morphological changes in all layers of the vessel wall. They include thickening of the arterial wall even in the absence of atherosclerotic disease. These changes lead to an increase in vascular stiffness, in central arterial pressure (AP), in systolic pressure and pulse pressure with a decrease in diastolic blood pressure [1]. Endothelial dysfunction and a decrease in the elasticity of the vessel wall, irrespective of coronary atherosclerosis, cause the development of such cardiovascular catastrophes as myocardial infarction [2, 3].

Volume sphygmography indices are used to assess the primary and secondary prevention of CVD [4, 5]. Determining the pulse wave velocity in the area from the common carotid artery to the femoral artery is the 'gold standard' for measuring arterial stiffness.

Currently, there exist methods, in particular, the assessment of the cardio-ankle vascular index (CAVI), which, according to the data of numerous epidemiological and clinical studies, is of independent diagnostic and prognostic significance [6]. It was found at the population level that the CAVI index slowly changes over time, therefore it is not sensitive to modification of risk factors or pharmacological interventions [7]. The accumulated data on volume sphygmography show that arterial stiffness and CAVI correlate with atherosclerotic load and are predictors of CVDs [7, 8].

In the conducted longitudinal studies, a decrease in CAVI by more than 0.15 units over three years is associated with 2.4 times higher risk of death and 2.8 times higher mortality from CVDs [8–10]. In some studies, an association was found between CAVI > 9 units and an increased risk of CVDs, while in other studies CAVI > 8 units was reported to be a risk factor for cardiovascular catastrophes [11, 12].

According to the materials of the ESSE-RF study, the arterial stiffness index in the adult population sample of Tomsk, had an independent prognostic significance for CVD, and the index more than 7.8 units was a reclassifies of cardiovascular risk [13]. In other studies of CVDs, CAVI below 7.8 units compared with that above 7.8 units correlated with a higher risk of developing chronic heart failure (CHF) in patients without coronary heart disease (odds ratio 1.61, 95% confidence interval 1.14–2.29) [7, 14]. Currently, CAVI is used to predict mortality from CVDs (coronary heart disease, stroke) [15].

The aim of this study vascular stiffness indices in senile patients with chronic heart failure using volume sphygmography.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study protocol was considered and approved by the Local Ethics Committee of Ryazan State Medical University (Protocol No. 3 of October 10, 2021).

Inclusion criteria: (1) CHF diagnosis stated in the medical card, (2) patient's age corresponding to senility in WHO classification (75–89 years), (3) life expectancy more than a year, (4) signing the Informed consent to participation in the study.

Exclusion criteria: (1) age of long-livers (≥ 90 years), (2) a documented active oncological process, (3) signs of acute inflammation including the new coronavirus infection, (4) clinically significant exacerbation of a chronic disease except CHF, (5) a severe mental illness.

Echocardiographic examination was performed on a Philips Affinity 70 apparatus of expert class (Phillips Corp., the Netherlands), with the assessment of linear dimensions of heart chambers, left ventricular (LV) ejection fraction (EF), and condition of heart valves.

Using a Vasera VS-2000 volume sphygmography apparatus (Fukuda Denshi Corp., Japan), vascular stiffness was assessed including CAVI (a parameter of rigidity of the aorta and arterial vessels, an estimate of the pulse wave velocity and vessel wall elasticity), and ankle-brachial index (ABI) that reflects the ratio of

systolic AP measured on the shin to that measured on the brachial artery, demonstrates the degree of stenosis/occlusion in the system of the popliteal artery)).

The study involved 120 patients (87 women (72.5%) and 33 men (27.5%)) of senile age (mean age 81.3 ± 4.2 years) with CHF.

In the studied cohort of patients, arterial hypertension was recorded in 99% of cases, coronary heart disease — in 70% (including a past myocardial infarction in 26%), type 2 diabetes mellitus — in 18.3%, aortic stenosis — in 19%, mitral stenosis — in 3.5%, a complete block of the left branch of the bundle of His — in 21%, a complete block of the right branch of the bundle of His — in 7.5%. Ten percent of patients had a history of coronary artery stenting, 53% — of atrial fibrillation, and 13% — of acute cerebrovascular accident.

The mean height of the studied patients was 163.09 ± 7.86 cm, the mean body weight — 75.18 ± 13.36 kg, the mean body mass index — 28.26 ± 4.76 kg/m², the mean waist circumference — 99.80 ± 11.51 cm, the mean body surface area — 1.80 ± 0.18 m², the mean systolic AP — 147.8 ± 23.5 mm Hg, the mean diastolic AP — 84.6 ± 12.3 mm, the mean pulse pressure — 63.6 ± 20.0 mm Hg.

The distribution of patients by CHF stages was as follows: 10% had I stage of the disease, 73% — IIA stage, 17% — IIB stage; stage III was not recorded. On inclusion in the study, 1.2% of patients had the first functional class (FC) of CHF, 52.2% — II FC, 44.2% — III FC, 2.4% — IV FC. LVEF was preserved in 78% of cases, moderately reduced in 12%, low in 10%. Signs of CHF decompensation upon admission to hospital were recorded in 41% of patients.

The data analysis was carried out using the Stat Soft 13.0 program (Dell Inc., USA). The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to assess the normality of the distribution of the quantitative data. The data are presented as a mean value (M) and a standard deviation (SD). Single-factor analysis of variance was used to compare the mean values, and the probable relationship between variables was assessed using linear regression analysis. The differences were considered statistically significant at $p < 0.05$.

RESULTS

Depending on the FC of CHF, the patients were divided into three groups (Table 1). As follows from the table data, the CAVI on the right (R) in patients with II FC CHF was statistically higher by 0.45 units than in patients with III FC CHF ($p = 0.04$). The highest R-CAVI was identified in IV FC CHF group, where it was 0.57 units higher than in II FC CHF and 1.02 units higher than in III FC CHF group ($p = 0.035$ and $p = 0.041$, respectively).

In comparison, ABI on the right was comparable in the group of patients with II FC CHF and III FC CHF, but differed from the group of patients with IV FC CHF by 0.37 units ($p = 0.05$ and $p = 0.04$, respectively). CAVI on the left was 0.47 units higher in patients with IV FC CHF in comparison with patients with II FC CHF and 0.6 units higher than in patients with III FC CHF ($p = 0.021$ and $p = 0.03$, respectively). ABI on the left was statistically significantly lower by 0.15 units and by 0.34 units in the group of patients with IV FC CHF relative to the groups with II FC CHF and III FC CHF ($p = 0.034$ and $p = 0.043$, respectively).

Linear regression analysis revealed statistically significant interrelations of the functional class of CHF with the indices:

- R-CAVI ($B = 9.316$ (8.814; 9.818); $p = 0.001$, $R^2 = 0.02$);
- R-ABI ($B = 1.361$ (1.071; 1.652); $p = 0.001$, $R^2 = 0.16$);
- L-CAVI ($B = 9.718$ (9.266; 10.170); $p = 0.001$, $R^2 = 0.001$);
- L-ABI ($B = 1.065$ (0.839; 1.291); $p = 0.001$, $R^2 = 0.001$).

Besides, statistically significant interrelations of the patients' age with R-ABI ($B = 4.267$ (0.693; 7.841); $p = 0.020$, $R^2 = 0.014$) were also recorded.

Depending on the value of CAVI and taking into the account the literature data [1], the patients were divided into two groups: **group 1** involved patients with the CAVI below 7.8 units ('normal' vessels), **group 2** included patients with the CAVI more than 7.8 units ('stiff' vessels). Comparative assessment of the heart ultrasound parameters of the patients with CHF depending on the degree of vascular stiffness (Table 2) was carried out.

Patients of **group 2** ('stiff' vessels) had a larger diameter of the left atrium at rest (by 0.1 cm, $p = 0.006$), a higher LFEF (by 4.4%, $p = 0.045$), thickness of the posterior wall of the LV in diastole (by 0.02 cm, $p = 0.05$), whereas patients of **group 1** ('normal' vessels) had a larger end-diastolic diameter (EDD) of the LV (by 0.12 cm, $p = 0.005$), end-systolic diameter (ESD) of the LV (by 0.27 cm, $p = 0.045$), thickness of the interventricular septum (by 0.03 cm, $p = 0.046$), anteroposterior dimension of the right ventricle (by 0.22 cm, $p = 0.05$).

Statistically significant differences were recorded between group 1 and group 2 in the anteroposterior dimension of the right ventricle, both in R-CAVI and L-CAVI subgroups ($p = 0.004$ and $p = 0.044$, respectively).

Linear regression analysis revealed statistically significant interrelations of the LVEF with the indices:

- R-CAVI ($B = 7.253$ (5.626; 8.880); $p = 0.001$, $R^2 = 0.039$);
- L-CAVI ($B = 8.154$ (6.713; 9.595); $p = 0.001$, $R^2 = 0.024$);
- L-ABI ($B = 1.452$ (0.659; 2.244); $p = 0.001$, $R^2 = 0.004$).

Statistically significant correlations were recorded between the EDD LV and indices:

Table 1. Comparative Characteristics of Volume Sphygmography Indices in Patients Depending on Functional Class of Chronic Heart Failure

Index		Index Value, units			p
		chronic heart failure, II functional class	chronic heart failure, III functional class	chronic heart failure, IV functional class	
		1	2	3	
On the right	R-CAVI	9.63 ± 1.68	9.18 ± 2.96	10.2 ± 0.85	$p_{1-2} = 0.04$ $p_{1-3} = 0.035$ $p_{2-3} = 0.041$
	R-ABI	1.17 ± 1.27	1.17 ± 1.51	0.80 ± 0.07	$p_{1-2} = 0.6$ $p_{1-3} = 0.05$ $p_{2-3} = 0.4$
On the left	L-CAVI	9.73 ± 1.53	9.60 ± 2.67	10.2 ± 1.14	$p_{1-2} = 0.71$ $p_{1-3} = 0.021$ $p_{2-3} = 0.03$
	L-ABI	1.02 ± 0.16	1.21 ± 1.56	0.87 ± 0.13	$p_{1-2} = 0.05$ $p_{1-3} = 0.034$ $p_{2-3} = 0.043$

Notes: L-ABI — ankle-brachial index on the left, L-CAVI — cardio-ankle vascular index on the left, R-ABI — ankle-brachial index on the right, R-CAVI — cardio-ankle vascular index on the right

Table 2. Parameters of Echocardiography in Normal and Increased Value of Cardio-Ankle Index

Parameters	On the right			On the left		
	≥ 7,8 ед.	< 7,8 ед.	p	≥ 7,8 ед.	< 7,8 ед.	p
Left atrium, cm	4,53 ± 0,66	4,43 ± 1,61	0,006	4,54 ± 0,68	4,42 ± 1,50	0,08
End-diastolic diameter of the left ventricle, cm	5,18 ± 0,76	5,30 ± 0,51	0,005	5,19 ± 0,76	5,25 ± 0,53	0,031
End-systolic diameter of the left ventricle, cm	3,59 ± 0,90	3,86 ± 0,70	0,045	3,59 ± 0,90	3,86 ± 0,70	0,03
Left ventricular ejection fraction, %	56,57 ± 11,95	52,17 ± 11,57	0,045	56,43 ± 11,96	53,31 ± 11,87	0,07
Interventricular septum thickness, cm	1,13 ± 0,15	1,15 ± 0,23	0,005	1,12 ± 0,14	1,14 ± 0,26	0,055
Posterior left ventricular wall thickness, cm	0,99 ± 0,13	0,97 ± 0,16	0,05	0,99 ± 0,12	0,99 ± 0,17	0,05
Anteroposterior dimension of the right ventricle, cm	2,56 ± 0,35	2,78 ± 0,40	0,004	2,56 ± 0,35	2,72 ± 0,44	0,044

- R-CAVI ($B = 10.154$ (7.662; 12.646); $p = 0.001$. $R^2=0.002$).

- L-CAVI ($B = 10.192$ (8.001; 12.382); $p = 0.001$. $R^2=0.002$).

Statistically significant correlations were identified between the ESD LV and indices:

- R-CAVI ($B = 10.285$ (8.814; 11.756); $p = 0.001$. $R^2=0.009$);

- R-ABI ($B = 1.373$ (0.458; 2.289); $p = 0.004$. $R^2=0.001$);

- L-CAVI ($B = 10.671$ (9.382; 11.959); $p = 0.001$. $R^2=0.015$).

DISCUSSION

Arterial stiffness is a significant risk factor for the heart failure [16]. To note, besides predictive function, vascular stiffness parameters can also be used to select antihypertensive therapy and can become the target for novel or already existing drugs, which means that *correction of vascular stiffness may help reduce cardiovascular mortality* [17].

CAVI is a promising parameter in the assessment of arterial stiffness, the data on its clinical significance have been already accumulated, and *one of its advantages is independence of AP* [18].

A group analysis in our study showed that *an increase in the arterial stiffness was in correspondence with increasing severity of CHF*; increased arterial thickness has an effect on the post load of the LV which predisposes to its hypertrophy and is an independent predictor of cardiovascular death [8].

In the assessment of R-IV and L-ABI in the group of IV FC CHF, lower values of these indices were noted, which may evidence *a high probability for stenosis or occlusion in the system of the femoral and popliteal arteries*.

Statistically significant interrelations between the patient's age and ABI were recorded, the values of the latter were statistically significantly higher in patients with IV FC CHF. Statistically significant interrelations of CHF with R-CAVI, R-ABI, L-CAVI, L-ABI *may evidence an association between vascular stiffness and development of CVD*. The data obtained by us are confirmed in recent studies that reflect the predictive potential of CAVI [19, 20].

Assessment of the US parameters of the heart showed statistically higher linear dimensions of the left atrium, higher values of thickness of the posterior wall of the LV and higher LVEF in the group with 'stiff' vessels, which, in our opinion, reflects a history of arterial hypertension with damage to the heart as the target organ.

In linear regression analysis, the LVEF echocardiographic parameter appeared to be most *dependent on the age-related vascular stiffness and occlusion*. The EDD LV and ESD LV echocardiographic parameters depended only on vascular stiffness. More accurate conclusions about the vascular stiffness in senile patients with CHF can be made in the course of in-depth studies on a larger cohort of patients.

CONCLUSION

The results of measuring the cardio-ankle vascular index reflecting the elastic properties of blood vessels, revealed higher vascular stiffness in patients with chronic heart failure of IV functional class and a high risk for occlusion in the femoral-popliteal vascular system, especially on the left, compared with lower functional classes of chronic heart failure.

The cardio-ankle vascular index showed a statistically significant correlation with the patient's age and with echocardiographic parameters (left ventricular ejection fraction, end systolic and end diastolic dimensions of the left ventricle). The effect of vascular stiffness on the dilatation of the left atrium and right ventricle was identified. The existence of peripheral artery diseases and a reduced left ventricular ejection fraction are probably associated with a limited exercise tolerance and impaired cardiopulmonary function, which is a potential mechanism for increased risk of cardiovascular diseases.

ADDITIONALLY

Funding. This article was not supported by any external sources of funding.

Conflict of interests. The authors declare no conflicts of interests.

Contribution of the authors: D. S. Grachev — analysis and synthesis of literature data, conducting an experimental study, analysis of the study results, writing the text; V. S. Petrov — concept of the research, analysis of the study results, editing; K. I. Namazova, T. A. Maksyakova, V. I. Tokareva — processing the material. The authors confirm the correspondence of their authorship to the ICMJE International Criteria. All authors made a substantial contribution to the conception of the work, acquisition, analysis, interpretation of data for the work, drafting and revising the work, final approval of the version to be published and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Финансирование. Авторы заявляют об отсутствии внешнего финансирования при проведении исследования.

Конфликт интересов. Авторы заявляют об отсутствии конфликта интересов.

Вклад авторов: Грачев Д. С. — анализ и обобщение данных литературы, проведение экспериментального исследования, анализ результатов исследования, написание текста; Петров В. С. — концепция исследования, анализ результатов исследования, редактирование; Намазова К. И., Максякова Т. А., Токарева В. И. — обработка материала. Авторы подтверждают соответствие своего авторства международным критериям ICMJE (все авторы внесли существенный вклад в разработку концепции и подготовку статьи, прочли и одобрили финальную версию перед публикацией).

СПИСОК ИСТОЧНИКОВ

1. Barodka V.M., Joshi B.L., Berkowitz D.E., et al. Implications of vascular aging // *Anesthesia & Analgesia*. 2011. Vol. 112, No. 5. P. 1048–1060. doi: [10.1213/ANE.0b013e3182147e3c](https://doi.org/10.1213/ANE.0b013e3182147e3c)
2. Фомина О.А., Якушин С.С. Оценка функции эндотелия, эластичности сосудистой стенки и их влияния на годовой прогноз у больных с инфарктом миокарда при обструктивном и необструктивном поражении коронарных артерий // Российский медико-биологический вестник имени академика И. П. Павлова. 2020. Т. 28, № 4. С. 488–496. doi: [10.23888/PAVLOVJ2020284488-496](https://doi.org/10.23888/PAVLOVJ2020284488-496)
3. Калинин Р.Е., Сучков И.А., Мжаванадзе Н.Д., и др. Эндотелиальная дисфункция при мышечных дистрофиях // Наука молодых (*Eruditio Juvenium*). 2021. Т. 9, № 2. С. 326–334. doi: [10.23888/HMJ202192326-334](https://doi.org/10.23888/HMJ202192326-334)
4. Ankle Brachial Index Collaboration; Fowkes F.G.R., Murray G.D., Butcher I., et al. Ankle brachial index combined with Framingham Risk Score to predict cardiovascular events and mortality: a meta-analysis // *JAMA*. 2008. Vol. 300, No. 2. P. 197–208. doi: [10.1001/jama.300.2.197](https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.300.2.197)
5. Weatherley B.D., Nelson J.J., Heiss G., et al. The association of the ankle-brachial index with incident coronary heart disease: the Atherosclerosis Risk In Communities (ARIC) study, 1987–2001 // *BMC Cardiovasc. Disord.* 2007. Vol. 7. P. 3. doi: [10.1186/1471-2261-7-3](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2261-7-3)
6. Васюк Ю.А., Иванова С.В., Школьник Е.Л., и др. Согласованное мнение российских экспертов по оценке артериальной жесткости в клинической практике // Кардиоваскулярная терапия и профилактика. 2016. Т. 15, № 2. С. 4–19. doi: [10.15829/1728-8800-2016-2-4-19](https://doi.org/10.15829/1728-8800-2016-2-4-19)
7. Aboyans V., Criqui M.H., Abraham P., et al. Measurement and interpretation of the ankle-brachial index: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association // *Circulation*. 2012. Vol. 126, No. 24. P. 2890–2909. doi: [10.1161/CIR.0b013e318276fbcb](https://doi.org/10.1161/CIR.0b013e318276fbcb)
8. Cecelja M., Chowienczyk P. Role of arterial stiffness in cardiovascular disease // *JRSM Cardiovasc. Dis.* 2012. Vol. 1, No. 4. P. cvd.2012.012016. doi: [10.1258/cvd.2012.012016](https://doi.org/10.1258/cvd.2012.012016)
9. Wilkins J.T., McDermott M.M., Liu K., et al. Associations of noninvasive measures of arterial compliance and ankle-brachial index: the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA) // *Am. J. Hypertens.* 2012. Vol. 25, No. 5. P. 535–541. doi: [10.1038/ajh.2012.13](https://doi.org/10.1038/ajh.2012.13)
10. Costantino S., Paneni F., Cosentino F. Ageing, metabolism and cardiovascular disease // *J. Physiol.* 2016. Vol. 594, No. 8. P. 2061–2073. doi: [10.1113/JP270538](https://doi.org/10.1113/JP270538)
11. Budoff M.J., Alpert B., Chirinos J.A., et al. Clinical Applications Measuring Arterial Stiffness: An Expert Consensus for the Application of Cardio-Ankle Vascular Index // *Am. J. Hypertens.* 2022. Vol. 35, No. 5. P. 441–453. doi: [10.1093/ajh/hpac178](https://doi.org/10.1093/ajh/hpac178)
12. Park J.B., Sharman J.E., Li Y., et al. Expert Consensus on the Clinical Use of Pulse Wave Velocity in Asia // *Pulse* (Basel). 2022. Vol. 10, No. 1–4. P. 1–18. doi: [10.1159/000528208](https://doi.org/10.1159/000528208)
13. Заирова А.Р., Рогоза А.Н., Ощепкова Е.В., и др. Значение показателя артериальной жесткости «сердечно-сосудистый сосудистый индекс — CAVI» для прогноза сердечно-сосудистых событий в популяционной выборке взрослого городского населения (по материалам исследования ЭССЕ-РФ, Томск) // Кардиоваскулярная терапия и профилактика. 2021. Т. 20, № 5. С. 2967. doi: [10.15829/1728-8800-2021-2967](https://doi.org/10.15829/1728-8800-2021-2967)
14. Vlachopoulos C., Xaplanteris P., Aboyans V., et al. The role of vascular biomarkers for primary and secondary prevention. A position paper from the European Society of Cardiology Working Group on peripheral circulation: Endorsed by the Association for Research into Arterial Structure and Physiology (ARTERY) Society // *Atherosclerosis*. 2015. Vol. 241, No. 2. P. 507–532. doi: [10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2015.05.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2015.05.007)
15. Townsend R.R., Wilkinson I.B., Schiffrin E.L., et al. Recommendations for Improving and Standardizing Vascular Research on Arterial Stiffness: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association // *Hypertension*. 2015. Vol. 66, No. 3. P. 698–722. doi: [10.1161/HYP.0000000000000033](https://doi.org/10.1161/HYP.0000000000000033)
16. Saiki A., Ohira M., Yamaguchi T., et al. New Horizons of Arterial Stiffness Developed Using Cardio-Ankle Vascular Index (CAVI) // *J. Atheroscler. Thromb.* 2020. Vol. 27, No. 8. P. 732–748. doi: [10.5551/jat.RV17043](https://doi.org/10.5551/jat.RV17043)
17. Boutouyrie P., Chowienczyk P., Humphrey J.D., et al. Arterial Stiffness and Cardiovascular Risk in Hypertension // *Circ. Res.* 2021. Vol. 128, No. 7. P. 864–886. doi: [10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.121.318061](https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.121.318061)
18. Namba T., Masaki N., Takase B., et al. Arterial Stiffness Assessed by Cardio-Ankle Vascular Index // *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2019. Vol. 20, No. 15. P. 3664. doi: [10.3390/ijms20153664](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20153664)
19. Abdullah N., Blin J.A., Arifin A.S.K., et al. Cardiovascular risk prediction with cardio-ankle vascular index in the malaysian cohort study // *Curr. Probl. Cardiol.* 2023. Vol. 49, No. 3. P. 102192. doi: [10.1016/j.cpcardiol.2023.102192](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpcardiol.2023.102192)
20. Tomiyama H. Cardio-ankle vascular index in the management of hypertension // *Hypertens. Res.* 2023. Vol. 46, No. 2. P. 537–538. doi: [10.1038/s41440-022-01057-1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41440-022-01057-1)

REFERENCES

1. Barodka VM, Joshi BL, Berkowitz DE, et al. Review article: implications of vascular aging. *Anesthesia & Analgesia*. 2011;112(5):1048–60. doi: [10.1213/ANE.0b013e3182147e3c](https://doi.org/10.1213/ANE.0b013e3182147e3c)
2. Fomina OA, Yakushin SS. Evaluation of endothelial function, of elasticity of vessel wall and their influence on one-year prognosis of patients with myocardial infarction with obstructive and non-obstructive coronary arteries. *I. P. Pavlov Russian Medical Biological Herald*. 2020;28(4): 488–96. (In Russ). doi: [10.23888/PAVLOVJ2020284488-496](https://doi.org/10.23888/PAVLOVJ2020284488-496)
3. Kalinin RE, Suchkov IA, Mzhavanadze ND, et al. Dysfunction in muscular dystrophies. *Nauka Molodykh (Eruditio Juvenium)*. 2021;9(2):326–34. (In Russ). doi: [10.23888/HMJ202192326-334](https://doi.org/10.23888/HMJ202192326-334)
4. Ankle Brachial Index Collaboration; Fowkes FGR, Murray GD, Butcher I., et al. Ankle brachial index combined with Framingham Risk Score to predict cardiovascular events and mortality: a meta-analysis. *JAMA*. 2008;300(2):197–208. doi: [10.1001/jama.300.2.197](https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.300.2.197)
5. Weatherley BD, Nelson JJ, Heiss G., et al. The association of the ankle-brachial index with incident coronary heart disease: the Atherosclerosis Risk In Communities (ARIC) study, 1987–2001. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord*. 2007;7:3. doi: [10.1186/1471-2261-7-3](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2261-7-3)
6. Vasyuk YuA, Ivanova SV, Shkolnik EL, et al. Consensus of Russian experts on the evaluation of arterial stiffness in clinical practice. *Cardiovascular Therapy and Prevention*. 2016;15(2):4–19. (In Russ). doi: [10.15829/1728-8800-2016-2-4-19](https://doi.org/10.15829/1728-8800-2016-2-4-19)

7. Aboyans V, Criqui MH, Abraham P, et al. Measurement and interpretation of the ankle-brachial index: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2012;126(24):2890–909. doi: [10.1161/CIR.0b013e318276fbcb](https://doi.org/10.1161/CIR.0b013e318276fbcb)
8. Cecelja M, Chowienczyk P. Role of arterial stiffness in cardiovascular disease. *JRSM Cardiovasc Dis*. 2012;1(4):cvd.2012.012016. doi: [10.1258/cvd.2012.012016](https://doi.org/10.1258/cvd.2012.012016)
9. Wilkins JT, McDermott MM, Liu K, et al. Associations of noninvasive measures of arterial compliance and ankle-brachial index: the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA). *Am J Hypertens*. 2012;25(5):535–41. doi: [10.1038/ajh.2012.13](https://doi.org/10.1038/ajh.2012.13)
10. Costantino S, Paneni F, Cosentino F. Ageing, metabolism and cardiovascular disease. *J Physiol*. 2016;594(8):2061–73. doi: [10.1113/JP270538](https://doi.org/10.1113/JP270538)
11. Budoff MJ, Alpert B, Chirinos JA, et al. Clinical Applications Measuring Arterial Stiffness: An Expert Consensus for the Application of Cardio-Ankle Vascular Index. *Am J Hypertens*. 2022;35(5):441–53. doi: [10.1093/ajh/hpab178](https://doi.org/10.1093/ajh/hpab178)
12. Park JB, Sharman JE, Li Y, et al. Expert Consensus on the Clinical Use of Pulse Wave Velocity in Asia. *Pulse (Basel)*. 2022;10(1–4):1–18. doi: [10.1159/000528208](https://doi.org/10.1159/000528208)
13. Zairova AR, Rogoza AN, Oshchepkova EV, et al. Contribution of cardio-ankle vascular index to prediction of cardiovascular events in the adult urban population: data from the ESSE-RF study (Tomsk). *Cardiovascular Therapy and Prevention*. 2021;20(5):2967. (In Russ). doi: [10.15829/1728-8800-2021-2967](https://doi.org/10.15829/1728-8800-2021-2967)
14. Vlachopoulos C, Xaplanteris P, Aboyans V, et al. The role of vascular biomarkers for primary and secondary prevention. A position paper from the European Society of Cardiology Working Group on peripheral circulation: Endorsed by the Association for Research into Arterial Structure and Physiology (ARTERY) Society. *Atherosclerosis*. 2015;241(2):507–32. doi: [10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2015.05.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2015.05.007)
15. Townsend RR, Wilkinson IB, Schiffrin EL, et al. Recommendations for Improving and Standardizing Vascular Research on Arterial Stiffness: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. *Hypertension*. 2015;66(3):698–722. doi: [10.1161/HYP.0000000000000033](https://doi.org/10.1161/HYP.0000000000000033)
16. Saiki A, Ohira M, Yamaguchi T, et al. New Horizons of Arterial Stiffness Developed Using Cardio-Ankle Vascular Index (CAVI). *J Atheroscler Thromb*. 2020;27(8):732–48. doi: [10.5551/jat.RV17043](https://doi.org/10.5551/jat.RV17043)
17. Boutouyrie P, Chowienczyk P, Humphrey JD, et al. Arterial Stiffness and Cardiovascular Risk in Hypertension. *Circ Res*. 2021;128(7):864–86. doi: [10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.121.318061](https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.121.318061)
18. Namba T, Masaki N, Takase B, et al. Arterial Stiffness Assessed by Cardio-Ankle Vascular Index. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2019;20(15):3664. doi: [10.3390/ijms20153664](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20153664)
19. Abdullah N, Blin JA, Arifin ASK, et al. Cardiovascular risk prediction with cardio-ankle vascular index in the malaysian cohort study. *Curr Probl Cardiol*. 2023;49(3):102192. doi: [10.1016/j.cpcardiol.2023.102192](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpcardiol.2023.102192)
20. Tomiyama H. Cardio-ankle vascular index in the management of hypertension. *Hypertens Res*. 2023;46(2):537–8. doi: [10.1038/s41440-022-01057-1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41440-022-01057-1)

ОБ АВТОРАХ

*Грачев Дмитрий Сергеевич;

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0002-5972-5631>;

eLibrary SPIN: 2202-3025; e-mail: dmitrygrachev@internet.ru

Петров Вадим Сергеевич, д.м.н., доцент;

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8631-8826>;

eLibrary SPIN: 4553-3581; e-mail: dr.vspetrov@gmail.com

Намазова Камила Исмаиловна;

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6771-7151>;

eLibrary SPIN: 8219-1494; e-mail: kamila.namazowa@yandex.ru

Максякова Татьяна Анатольевна;

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3637-7435>;

eLibrary SPIN: 5088-9064; e-mail: tanyamaksyakova@gmail.com

Токарева Виктория Игоревна;

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2609-9937>;

eLibrary SPIN: 3423-0676; e-mail: vikusyaTok@mail.ru

* Автор, ответственный за переписку / Corresponding author

AUTHOR'S INFO

*Dmitriy S. Grachev;

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0002-5972-5631>;

eLibrary SPIN: 2202-3025; e-mail: dmitrygrachev@internet.ru

Vadim S. Petrov, MD, Dr. Sci (Med.), Associate Professor;

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8631-8826>;

eLibrary SPIN: 4553-3581; e-mail: dr.vspetrov@gmail.com

Kamila I. Namazova;

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6771-7151>;

eLibrary SPIN: 8219-1494; e-mail: kamila.namazowa@yandex.ru

Tat'yana A. Maksyakova;

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3637-7435>;

eLibrary SPIN: 5088-9064; e-mail: tanyamaksyakova@gmail.com

Viktoriya I. Tokareva;

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2609-9937>;

eLibrary SPIN: 3423-0676; e-mail: vikusyaTok@mail.ru