

Влияние сроков стентирования на состояние клапанного аппарата вен нижних конечностей, частоту и тяжесть развития посттромботической болезни

В. В. Бояринцев¹, Е. В. Баринов^{1, 2} [∞], А. С. Панков², В. Е. Баринов^{1, 2}, М. Б. Базарова^{1, 2}, С. В. Журавлев^{1, 2}, И. А. Золотухин³

¹ Центральная государственная медицинская академия Управления делами Президента Российской Федерации, Москва, Российская Федерация;

² Клиническая больница № 1 (Волынская) Управления делами Президента Российской Федерации, Москва, Россия, Российская Федерация;

³ Российский национальный исследовательский медицинский университет имени Н. И. Пирогова, Москва, Российская Федерация

АННОТАЦИЯ

Актуальность. Острый тромбоз глубоких вен (ТГВ) нижних конечностей (НК) является жизнеугрожающим состоянием, сопровождающимся высокими показателями инвалидизации среди лиц трудоспособного возраста. Несмотря на раннее выявление ТГВ и применение рекомендуемой антитромботической терапии, неизбежно происходит повреждение венозной стенки, развитие клапанного рефлюкса и посттромботической болезни (ПТБ). Клиническая картина ПТБ становится очевидной после появления несостоятельности клапанов, что приводит к возникновению вертикального венозного рефлюкса и хронической венозной гипертензии. Своевременное устранение венозной обструкции может позволить сохранить функциональность клапанных структур, что в последующем будет способствовать снижению частоты и тяжести ПТБ.

Цель. Оценить влияние сроков стентирования на состояние клапанного аппарата, частоту и тяжесть развития ПТБ у пациентов с острым ТГВ НК.

Материалы и методы. В проспективное интервенционное исследование включили 49 пациентов с острым илиофеморальным тромбозом. После селективного тромболизиса 25 пациентам выполнили раннее (до 7 дней), 24 — отсроченное (7–30 дней) стентирование. Частоту развития и степень тяжести ПТБ оценивали с помощью шкалы Villalta через 3, 6 и 12 месяцев. Влияние лечения на состояние клапанного аппарата НК оценивали через 12 месяцев. При ультразвуковом исследовании оценивали рефлюкс по балльной системе.

Результаты. Через 12 месяцев у 2 (8%), 13 (52%) и 10 (40%) пациентов, перенесших отсроченное стентирование, развилась соответственно тяжелая, средней тяжести и легкая ПТБ. В группе раннего стентирования у 6 (25%) пациентов симптоматика ПТБ отсутствовала, у 15 (62,5%) и 3 (12,5%) развилась ПТБ соответственно легкой и средней степени (p = 0,0005). Через 12 месяцев, в группе раннего стентирования отсутствие рефлюкса было зарегистрировано у 6 (25%) пациентов, у 4 (17%) был рефлюкс клапанов в бедренном, у 14 (58%) в подколенном сегменте. В группе отсроченного стентирования у 12 (48%) пациентов выявлена клапанная недостаточность обоих сегментов, у 4 (16%) и 9 (36%) пациентов клапанный аппарат бедренных и подколенной вен был сохранен; пациентов без рефлюкса в обоих сегментах не было. Результаты лечения по этому показателю оказались лучше, если было выполнено раннее стентирование (p = 0,0005).

Заключение. Раннее стентирование после селективного тромболизиса у пациентов с проксимальным ТГВ НК приводит к снижению частоты развития и выраженности симптоматики ПТБ, позволяет снизить частоту развития рефлюкса в глубоких венах.

Ключевые слова: раннее стентирование; посттромботическая болезнь; шкала Villalta; клапанный аппарат

Для цитирования:

Бояринцев В.В., Баринов Е.В., Панков А.С., Баринов В.Е., Базарова М.Б., Журавлев С.В., Золотухин И.А. Влияние сроков стентирования на состояние клапанного аппарата вен нижних конечностей, частоту и тяжесть развития посттромботической болезни // Российский медикобиологический вестник имени академика И. П. Павлова. 2024. Т. 32, № 2. С. 235–242. DOI: https://doi.org/10.17816/PAVLOVJ630006

Рукопись получена: 05.04.2024

Рукопись одобрена: 08.05.2024

Опубликована: 30.06.2024



235

236

DOI: https://doi.org/10.17816/PAVLOVJ630006

Influence of Timing of Stenting on Condition of Venous Valves of Lower Extremities, Frequency and Severity of Development of Post-Thrombotic Disease

Valeriy V. Boyarintsev¹, Evgeniy V. Barinov^{1, 2} \bowtie , Aleksey S. Pankov², Viktor E. Barinov^{1, 2}, Mekhridzhamal B. Bazarova^{1, 2}, Sergey V. Zhuravlev^{1, 2}, Igor' A. Zolotukhin³

¹ Central State Medical Academy of Department of Presidential Affairs, Moscow, Russian Federation;

² Clinical Hospital No. 1 (Volynskaya) of the Presidential Administration of the Russian Federation, Moscow, Russian Federation;

³ N. I. Pirogov Russian National Research Medical University, Moscow, Russian Federation

ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION: Acute deep vein thrombosis (DVT) of the lower extremities (LE) is a life-threatening condition, accompanied by high rates of disability among people of working age. Despite early detection of DVT and the use of recommended antithrombotic therapy, damage to the venous wall, development of valvular reflux and post-thrombotic disease (PTD) inevitably occur. The clinical picture of PTD becomes evident after the development of valve incompetence, which leads to vertical venous reflux and chronic venous hypertension. Timely elimination of venous obstruction can preserve the functionality of valve structures, which will subsequently help reduce the frequency and severity of PTD.

AIM: To evaluate the impact of the timing of stenting on the condition of the venous valves, the frequency and severity of the development of PTD in patients with acute DVT of LE.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: A prospective interventional study included 49 patients with acute iliofemoral thrombosis. After selective thrombolysis, 25 patients underwent early (within 7 days) and 24 patients underwent delayed (7–30 days) stenting. The incidence and severity of PTD was assessed on the Villalta scale at 3, 6 and 12 months. The effect of treatment on the condition of the venous valves of the LE was assessed at 12 months. On ultrasound examination, reflux was assessed using a scoring system.

RESULTS: At 12 months, 2 (8%), 13 (52%), and 10 (40%) patients who underwent delayed stenting, developed severe, moderate, or mild PTD, respectively. In the early stenting group, 6 (25%) patients had no symptoms of PTD, 15 (62.5%) and 3 (12.5%) developed mild and moderate PTD, respectively (p = 0.0005). At 12 months, in the early stenting group, the absence of reflux was recorded in 6 (25%) patients, 4 (17%) patients had valve reflux in the femoral segment, and 14 (58%) in the popliteal segment. In the delayed stenting group, valvular incompetence of both segments was detected in 12 (48%) patients; in 4 (16%) and 9 (36%) patients, the valves of the femoral and popliteal veins were preserved; there were no patients without reflux in both segments. Treatment results for this indicator were better if early stenting was performed (p = 0.0005).

CONCLUSION: Early stenting after selective thrombolysis in patients with proximal DVT of LE leads to a decrease in the incidence and severity of symptoms of PTD, and can reduce the incidence of reflux in the deep veins.

Keywords: early stenting; post-thrombotic disease; Villalta scale; valve apparatus

For citation:

Boyarintsev VV, Barinov EV, Pankov AS, Barinov VE, Bazarova MB, Zhuravlev SV, Zolotukhin IA. Influence of Timing of Stenting on Condition of Venous Valves of Lower Extremities, Frequency and Severity of Development of Post-Thrombotic Disease. *I. P. Pavlov Russian Medical Biological Herald.* 2024;32(2):235–242. DOI: https://doi.org/10.17816/PAVLOVJ630006

Received: 05.04.2024



Accepted: 08.05.2024

Published: 30.06.2024

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

DVT — deep vein thrombosis LE — lower extremities PTD— post-thrombotic disease SCDT — selective catheter-directed thrombolysis US — ultraviolet examination

INTRODUCTION

The main chronic complication of acute deep vein thrombosis (DVT) of the lower extremities (LE) is postthrombotic disease (PTD) [1]. Even early identified and timely treated DVT of LE almost always leads to the irreversible alterations of the venous wall and of valve structures. PTD is manifested by edema and heaviness in the extremity, trophic disorders alternated with venous claudication. Clinical manifestations of PTD are most pronounced in the development of post-thrombotic valvular incompetence leading to vertical venous reflux and chronic venous hypertension.

The severity of PTD is usually determined on the Villalta scale [2]. The most severe types of PTD develop after iliofemoral thrombosis, which is also an important predictor of recurrent DVT [3–7]. The iliofemoral DVT accounts for about 20% of all DVT of LE with development of symptomatic PTD in 66% of cases and venous trophic ulcers in 10%–15% [8]. Along with life style modification, elastic compression stockings and phlebotropicagents

Fig. 1. Block-diagram of the study. *Note:* US — ultrasound examination.

are widely used in treatment of PTD [9, 10]. A promising surgical direction in the treatment of DVT is catheterdirected thrombolysis, which at different times can be supplemented with stenting of the iliac veins, which reduces the risk of developing severe PTD [11–14].

The **aim** of this study to evaluate the effect of the timing of stenting on the condition of the valve apparatus, the frequency and severity of the development of post-thrombotic disease in patients with acute deep vein thrombosis of the lower extremities.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was of prospective intervention design. For the primary analysis, 65 patients with proximal venous thrombosis were selected. Later, 7 patients refused to participate in the study. During history taking, 9 patients were excluded because of noncorrespondence to inclusion criteria. In result, 49 patients were included in the study (Figure 1).





Inclusion criteria: acute proximal DVT of LE identified by US, edema and pain in the affected extremity, age of thrombosis up to 14 days, age of patent \ge 18 years, signing of the informed consent to participate in the study.

Non-inclusion criteria: a history of allergic reaction to an iodine contrast agent, recent surgical interventions, pregnancy, pulmonary embolism, chronic renal failure, pastDVT of LE, body mass index \geq 35 kg/m², trophic venous ulcers, documented cancer process, high risks of bleeding on the HAS-BLED scale, a history of stroke, impossibility to come to follow-up examinations.

Study groups. After inclusion in the study, the patient underwent a selective catheter-directed thrombolysis (SCDT). After completion of the thrombolysis, early (up to 7 days) or delayed stenting (7–30 days) was conducted.

Assessment criteria. The frequency of detection and severity of symptoms of PTD were assessed on the Villalta scale at 3, 6 and 12 months. The condition of the valves of the femoral and popliteal veins was assessed at 12 months. The valves were assessed using a scoring system, in which, in case of absence of reflux in the studied segment, a point was added, and if reflux was detected, no point was added. The maximum scorewas 2.

Ethics. The study was approved by the Local Ethics Committee at Clinical Hospital No. 1 of Administrative Department of the President of the Russian Federation (Protocol No. 3/d of November 30, 2021).

Statistical analysis. Comparison of shares in the groups was carried out using the chi-square test (χ 2). Comparisons of Villalta scores were assessed using Mann–Whitney test. The severity of PTD depending on the state of the valve apparatus was compared using Kruskal–Wallis test. The influence of the chosen

treatment strategy on the condition of the valvular apparatus was assessed using Mann–Whitney test.

RESULTS

The frequency of development of PTD and severity of its symptoms throughout a year after acute DVT of LE and the conducted treatment are presented in Table 1. The average scores on the Villalta scale are presented in Figure 2.

The analysis of the state of the values after treatment revealed that in the *early* stenting group, by the end of the observation period (12 months), 18 patients (75%) had intact values in at least one segment. Differences in the scoring (Me (Q1–Q3)) of the value conditionshowed that it wasstatistically significantly better in this group 1.0 (1.0–2.0) versus 1.0 (0–1.0), p = 0.0005.

Also, in the *early* stenting group, in 6 (25%) patients, the valves of both the femoral and popliteal segments remained intact. In the *delayed* stenting group, reflux was recorded in the valves of the femoral and popliteal segment in 12 (48%) patients, reflux in one of the segments was recorded in 4 (16%) and 9 (36%) patients. There were no patients with intact valves of both segments after *delayed* stenting.

When analyzing the incidence and severity of PTD symptoms at 12 months, in 6 (13%) patients, the valvular apparatus of both segments functioned, PTD symptoms were absent. In 25 (51%) patients, in the absence of reflux in at least one valve segment, mild PTD developed. Sixteen (32%) and 2 (4%) patients developed moderate and severe PTD, which was associated with reflux in both segments. When analyzing the dependence of factors, statistically significant differences were found (p < 0.001).

Table 1. Frequency of Development and Severity of Symptoms (n (%)) of Post-Thrombotic Disease at 3 Months, 6 Months and12 Months

Assessment Time	Severity of Symptoms on Villalta Scale	Delayed stenting	Early stenting	р
n		24	25	
3 Months	Absent	5 (20)	12 (50)	- 0.052
	Mild	19 (76)	12 (50)	
	Moderate	1 (4)	0	
	Severe	0	0	
6 Months	Absent	0	6 (25)	0.001
	Mild	12 (48)	15 (63)	
	Moderate	13 (52)	3 (13)	
	Severe	0	0	
12 Months	Absent	0	6 (25)	- 0.0005
	Mild	10 (40)	15 (62.5)	
	Moderate	13 (52)	3 (12.5)	
	Severe	2 (8)	0	



Fig. 2. Average score on the Villalta scale in the groups at 3 months (A), 6 months (B) µ 12 (C) months of follow-up.

Table 2. Ultraviolet Examination Results of Lowe	Extremity Veins at 12 Months	s Depending on Severity of Post-Thrombotic
Disease		

Symptoms of Post-Thrombotic	n	Ultraviolet Examination Results, points		
Disease		Ме	Q1–Q3	- p
Absent	6	2.0	2.0–2.0	< 0.001
Mild	25	1.0	1.0–2.0	0.0001
Moderate	16	0.0	0.0–1.0	0.004
Severe	2	0.0	0.0-0.0	0.008

DISCUSSION

As a result of study, it was found that *early* stenting permits to reduce the rate of occurrence and severity of PTD symptoms, among other things, due to preservation of the valvular apparatus of the lower extremities. *Delayed* stenting was associated with the development of valvular reflux in the femoral and popliteal segments, which, in turn, was associated with increase in the frequency of severe and moderate PTD.

The highest quality evidence base regarding PTD in patients who have suffered acute DVT of LE was obtained in two multicenter randomized controlled trials: CaVenT and ATTRACT.

The CaVenT study showed improvement of the patency of the iliofemoral segment (65.9% vs. 47.4%) and lower frequency of development of PTD (41.1% versus 55.6%) in application of SCDL in comparison with patients who received only conservative therapy. These results persisted for 24 months after treatment and remained

such within 5 years of follow-up [13]. However, SCDT did not improve the quality of life in the long term [14]. On the other hand, in ATTRACT sub analysis of iliofemoral thrombosis, there were no differences in the frequency of development of PTD in the 6–24-month period between the groups with isolated anticoagulant therapy or SCDT. Analysis of the additional evaluation criteria showed the advantages of SCDT: in the SCDTgroup, fewer cases of moderate and severe PTD were recorded (18% and 28%, p = 0.021; 9% and 15%; p = 0.048, respectively). Besides, SCDT led to a significant reduction of pain and edema of LE within 30 days, reduction of severity of PTD and significant improvement of the quality of life [15].

CaVenT and ATTRACT studies were criticized for a *low frequency of stent implantation*, which was not an obligatory part of the study protocol. Only 16.7% and 28.0% of patients in the comparison groups (39.0% with proximal DVT) had stents implanted.

Studies on the influence of the state of the valves on the development of PTD were carried out as early as

in 1979 by K C Shull and A N Nicolaides, and in 1995 B E Johnson demonstrated that the *development ofvalvular incompetenceof the LE in a severe form observed in most cases, contributes to the development of PTD* [16, 17]. Despite a relatively long time of studying the problem, the influence of endovascular treatment methods and the timing of their implementation on the condition of the valves has not yet been assessed. We have shown that the earlystenting has undoubted advantages over delayed stenting in patients after catheter-directed thrombolysis for proximal venous thrombosis [18, 19].

Limitations. The study has a non-randomized design and a small sample size.

CONCLUSION

Early stenting after selective catheter-directed thrombolysis in patients with iliofemoral deep vein thrombosis permits to reduce the frequency of development and severity of manifestations of postthrombotic disease. Selective catheter-directed thrombolysiswith early elimination of residual obstruction helps preserve the valvular apparatus of the lower extremities in at least one segment, which contributes to reduction of the frequency and severity of the postthrombotic disease.

ADDITIONALLY

Funding. This study was not supported by any external sources of funding. **Conflict of interests.** The authors declare no conflicts of interests.

Contribution of authors: *V. V. Boyarintsev, V. E. Barinov, I. A. Zolotukhin, S. V. Zhuravlev* — development of the concept and formulation of the research topic, scientific guidance on the design and content of the article; *E. V. Barinov, M. B. Bazarova* — writing the text, conducting statistical analysis, formulating conclusions; *A. S. Pankov* — editing. The authors confirm the correspondence of their authorship to the ICMJE International Criteria. All authors made a substantial contribution to the conception of the work, acquisition, analysis, interpretation of data for the work, drafting and revising the work, final approval of the version to be published and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Финансирование. Авторы заявляют об отсутствии внешнего финансирования при проведении исследования.

Конфликт интересов. Авторы заявляют об отсутствии конфликта интересов.

Вклад авторов: Бояринцев В. В., Баринов В. Е., Золотухин И. А., Журавлев С. В. — разработка концепции и формулирование темы исследования, научное руководство по оформлению и содержанию статьи; Баринов Е. В., Базарова М. Б. — написание текста, проведение статистического анализа, формулирование выводов; Панков А. С. редактирование текста. Авторы подтверждают соответствие своего авторства международным критериям ICMJE (все авторы внесли существенный вклад в разработку концепции, проведение исследования и подготовку статьи, прочли и одобрили финальную версию перед публикацией).

СПИСОК ИСТОЧНИКОВ

1. Kahn S.R. The post-thrombotic syndrome // Hematology Am. Soc. Hematol. Educ. Program. 2016. Vol. 2016, No. 1. P. 413–418. doi: 10.1182/asheducation-2016.1.413

2. Villalta S., Bagatella P., Piccioli A., et al. Assessment of validity and reproducibility of a clinical scale for the post-thrombotic syndrome // Haemostasis. 1994. Vol. 24. P. 158a.

3. Nyamekye I., Merker L. Management of proximal deep vein thrombosis // Phlebology. 2012. Vol. 27, Suppl. 2. P. 61–72. doi: 10.1258/phleb.2012.012s37

4. Plate G., Ohlin P., Eklöf B. Pulmonary embolism in acute iliofemoral venous thrombosis // Br. J. Surg. 1985. Vol. 72, No. 11. P. 912–915. doi: 10.1002/bjs.1800721123

5. Young T., Tang H., Hughes R. Vena caval filters for the prevention of pulmonary embolism // Cochrane Database Syst. Rev. 2010. Vol. 2010, No. 2. P. CD006212. doi: 10.1002/14651858.cd006212.pub4

6. Douketis J.D., Crowther M.A., Foster G.A., et al. Does the location of thrombosis determine the risk of disease recurrence in patients with proximal deep vein thrombosis? // Am. J. Med. 2001. Vol. 110, No. 7. P. 515–519. doi: 10.1016/s0002-9343(01)00661-1

7. Kahn S.R., Shrier I., Julian J.A., et al. Determinants and time course of the postthrombotic syndrome after acute deep venous thrombosis // Ann. Intern. Med. 2008. Vol. 149, No. 10. P. 698–707. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-149-10-200811180-00004

8. Vedantham S., Thorpe P.E., Cardella J.F., et al. Quality improvement guidelines for the treatment of lower extremity deep vein thrombosis with use of endovascular thrombus removal // J. Vasc. Interv. Radiol. 2009. Vol. 20, Suppl. 7. P. S227–S239. doi: 10.1016/j.jvir.2009.04.016 9. Galanaud J.–P., Kahn S.R. Postthrombotic syndrome: a 2014 update // Curr. Opin. Cardiol. 2014. Vol. 29, No. 6. P. 514–519. doi: 10.1097/hco.00000000000103

10. Segal J.B., Streiff M.B., Hofmann L.V., et al. Management of venous thromboembolism: a systematic review for a practice guideline // Ann. Intern. Med. 2007. Vol. 146, No. 3. P. 211–222. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-146-3-200702060-00150

11. Куперин А.С., Гусев Л.Л., Алуханян О.А., и др. Эндоваскулярные вмешательства при подвздошно-бедренном венозном тромбозе // Флебология. 2024. Т. 18, № 1. С. 64-71. doi: 10.17116/ flebo20241801164

12. Enden T., Haig Y., Kløw N.–E., et al.; CaVenT Study Group. Long-term outcome after additional catheter-directed thrombolysis versus standard treatment for acute iliofemoral deep vein thrombosis (the CaVenT study): a randomised controlled trial // Lancet. 2012. Vol. 379, No. 9810. P. 31–38. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(11)61753-4

241

13. Haig Y., Enden T., Grøtta O., et al.; CaVenT Study Group. Postthrombotic syndrome after catheter-directed thrombolysis for deep vein thrombosis (CaVenT): 5-year follow-up results of an open-label, randomised controlled trial // Lancet Haematol. 2016. Vol. 3, No. 2. P. e64-e71. doi: 10.1016/s2352-3026(15)00248-3

14. Селиверстов Е.И., Лобастов К.В., Илюхин Е.А., и др. Профилактика, диагностика и лечение тромбоза глубоких вен. Рекомендации российских экспертов // Флебология. 2023. Т. 17, № 3. С. 152–296. doi: 10.17116/flebo202317031152

15. Comerota A.J., Kearon C., Gu C.S., et al.; ATTRACT Trial Investigators. Endovascular Thrombus Removal for Acute Iliofemoral Deep Vein Thrombosis // Circulation. 2019. Vol. 139, No. 9. P. 1162–1173. doi: 10.1161/circulationaha.118.037425

16. Johnson B.F., Manzo R.A., Bergelin R.O., et al. Relationship between changes in the deep venous system and the development of the postthrombotic syndrome after an acute episode of lower limb deep

vein thrombosis: a one- to six-year follow-up // J. Vasc. Surg. 1995. Vol. 21, No. 2. P. 307–313. doi: 10.1016/s0741-5214(95)70271-7 17. Shull K.C., Nicolaides A.N., Fernandes é Fernandes J., et al.

Significance of popliteal reflux in relation to ambulatory venous pressure and ulceration // Arch. Surg. 1979. Vol. 114, No. 11. P. 1304–1306. doi: 10.1001/archsurg.1979.01370350106012

18. Гаибов А.Д., Неъматзода О., Рахмонов Д.К., и др. Эндоваскулярные методы реканализации в лечении хронической ишемии нижних конечностей: современное состояние проблемы // Российский медико-биологический вестник имени академика И. П. Павлова. 2023. Т. 31, № 2. С. 305–316. doi: 10.17816/PAVLOVJ107324

19. Грицанчук А.М., Безлепко А.В., Муслимов Б.Г., и др. Ишемический инсульт с диссекцией сосуда: особенности течения, диагностики и лечения (клинический случай) // Наука молодых (Eruditio Juvenium). 2024. Т. 12, № 1. С. 101–110. doi: 10.23888/ HMJ2024121101-110

REFERENCES

1. Kahn SR. The post-thrombotic syndrome. *Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program.* 2016;2016(1):413–8. doi: 10.1182/ashedu cation-2016.1.413

2. Villalta S, Bagatella P, Piccioli A, et al. Assessment of validity and reproducibility of a clinical scale for the post-thrombotic syndrome. *Haemostasis.* 1994;24:158a.

3. Nyamekye I, Merker L. Management of proximal deep vein thrombosis. *Phlebology.* 2012;27(Suppl 2):61–72. doi: 10.1258/phleb.2012.012s37

4. Plate G, Ohlin P, Eklöf B. Pulmonary embolism in acute iliofemoral venous thrombosis. *Br J Surg.* 1985;72(11):912–5. doi: 10.1002/bjs.1800721123

5. Young T, Tang H, Hughes R. Vena caval filters for the prevention of pulmonary embolism. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2010;2010(2): CD006212. doi: 10.1002/14651858.cd006212.pub4

6. Douketis JD, Crowther MA, Foster GA, et al. Does the location of thrombosis determine the risk of disease recurrence in patients with proximal deep vein thrombosis? *Am J Med.* 2001;110(7):515–9. doi: 10.1016/s0002-9343(01)00661-1

7. Kahn SR, Shrier I, Julian JA, et al. Determinants and time course of the postthrombotic syndrome after acute deep venous thrombosis. *Ann Intern Med.* 2008;149(10):698–707. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-149-10-200811180-00004

8. Vedantham S, Thorpe PE, Cardella JF, et al. Quality improvement guidelines for the treatment of lower extremity deep vein thrombosis with use of endovascular thrombus removal. *J Vasc Interv Radiol.* 2009;20(Suppl 7):S227–39. doi: 10.1016/j.jvir.2009.04.016

9. Galanaud JP, Kahn SR. Postthrombotic syndrome: a 2014 update. *Curr Opin Cardiol*. 2014;29(6):514–9. doi: 10.1097/hco.000000000000103

10. Segal JB, Streiff MB, Hofmann LV, et al. Management of venous thromboembolism: a systematic review for a practice guideline. *Ann Intern Med.* 2007;146(3):211–22. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-146-3-200702060-00150

11. Kuperin AS, Gusev LL, Alukhanyan OA, et al. Endovascular Management of Iliofemoral Deep Vein Thrombosis. *Journal of Venous Disorders*. 2024;18(1):64–71. (In Russ). doi: 10.17116/flebo20241801164

12. Enden T, Haig Y, Kløw N–E, et al.; CaVenT Study Group. Long-term outcome after additional catheter-directed thrombolysis versus standard treatment for acute iliofemoral deep vein thrombosis (the CaVenT study): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet.* 2012;379(9810):31–8. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(11)61753-4

13. Haig Y, Enden T, Grøtta O, et al.; CaVenT Study Group. Postthrombotic syndrome after catheter-directed thrombolysis for deep vein thrombosis (CaVenT): 5-year follow-up results of an open-label, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Haematol.* 2016;3(2):e64–71. doi: 10.1016/s2352-3026(15)00248-3

14. Seliverstov EI, Lobastov KV, Ilyukhin EA, et al. Prevention, Diagnostics and Treatment of Deep Vein Thrombosis. Russian Experts Consensus. *Journal of Venous Disorders.* 2023;17(3):152–296. (In Russ). doi: 10.17116/flebo202317031152

15. Comerota AJ, Kearon C, Gu CS, et al.; ATTRACT Trial Investigators. Endovascular Thrombus Removal for Acute Iliofemoral Deep Vein Thrombosis. *Circulation*. 2019;139(9):1162–73. doi: 10.1161/circulationaha.118.037425

16. Johnson BF, Manzo RA, Bergelin RO, et al. Relationship between changes in the deep venous system and the development of the postthrombotic syndrome after an acute episode of lower limb deep vein thrombosis: a one- to six-year follow-up. *J Vasc Surg.* 1995; 21(2):307–13. doi: 10.1016/s0741-5214(95)70271-7

17. Shull KC, Nicolaides AN, Fernandes é Fernandes J, et al. Significance of popliteal reflux in relation to ambulatory venous pressure and ulceration. *Arch Surg.* 1979;114(11):1304–6. doi: 10.1001/archsurg.1979.01370350106012

18. Gaibov AD, Nematzoda O, Rakhmonov DK, et al. Endovascular Recanalization Methods in Treatment of Chronic Lower Limb Ischemia: Modern State of Problem. *I. P. Pavlov Russian Medical Biological Herald.* 2023;31(2):305–16. (In Russ). doi: 10.17816/PAVL0VJ107324

19. Gritsanchuk AM, Bezlepko AV, Muslimov BH, et al. Ischemic Stroke with Dissection of Vessel: Features of Course, Diagnosis and Treatment (Case Report). *Nauka Molodykh (Eruditio Juvenium)*. 2024;12(1):101–10. (In Russ). doi: 10.23888/HMJ2024121101-110

ОБ АВТОРАХ

242

Бояринцев Валерий Владимирович, д.м.н., профессор; ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9707-3262; eLibrary SPIN: 2491-7199; e-mail: wpx@mail.ru

*Баринов Евгений Викторович; ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0005-2311-0637; eLibrary SPIN: 9423-3640; e-mail: dr.ev.barinov@gmail.com

Панков Алексей Сергеевич, к.м.н.; ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8616-0678; eLibrary SPIN: 4830-3377; e-mail: pancaver2004@mail.ru

Баринов Виктор Евгеньевич, д.м.н., доцент; ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0434-2484; eLibrary SPIN: 1981-6745; e-mail: vicbarin@mail.ru

Базарова Мехриджамал Бабамурадовна, к.м.н.; ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0008-5882-8122; eLibrary SPIN: 3212-6536; e-mail: mehri.68@mail.ru

Журавлев Сергей Викторович, к.м.н.; ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4335-0329; eLibrary SPIN: 9046-6156; e-mail: volynka@volynka.ru

Золотухин Игорь Анатольевич, д.м.н., профессор; ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6563-0471; eLibrary SPIN: 3426-2981; e-mail: zoloto70@bk.ru

* Автор, ответственный за переписку / Corresponding author

AUTHORS' INFO

Valeriy V. Boyarintsev, MD, Dr. Sci. (Med.), Professor; ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9707-3262; eLibrary SPIN: 2491-7199; e-mail: wpx@mail.ru

*Evgeniy V. Barinov; ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0005-2311-0637; eLibrary SPIN: 9423-3640; e-mail: dr.ev.barinov@gmail.com

Aleksey S. Pankov, MD, Cand. Sci. (Med.); ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8616-0678; eLibrary SPIN: 4830-3377; e-mail: pancaver2004@mail.ru

Viktor E. Barinov, MD, Dr. Sci. (Med.), Associate Professor; ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0434-2484; eLibrary SPIN: 1981-6745; e-mail: vicbarin@mail.ru

Mekhridzhamal B. Bazarova, MD, Cand. Sci. (Med.); ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0008-5882-8122; eLibrary SPIN: 3212-6536; e-mail: mehri.68@mail.ru

Sergey V. Zhuravlev, MD, Cand. Sci. (Med.); ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4335-0329; eLibrary SPIN: 9046-6156; e-mail: volynka@volynka.ru

Igor' A. Zolotukhin, MD, Dr. Sci. (Med.), Professor; ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6563-0471; eLibrary SPIN: 3426-2981; e-mail: zoloto70@bk.ru